nghouse annah River Company Alken, SC 29808









PEC-SSD-970065



June 10, 1997

Mr. David Will Mason & Hanger Corporation

SAC200124330000 UNIQUE DOCEMENT

(Guide/Sourg

Dear Mr. Will:

Subject: Preliminary Hazard Analysis for Surplus Pit Storage Facility Upgrade Project (4)

Attached is the draft Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) document for the surplus pit storage facility upgrade project. The PHA provides a comprehensive list of hazardous events that impact the public, worker, facility, and environment. The frequency and consequence level (high, moderate, low) of the identified hazardous events was determined along with the risk bin. To provide a truly unmitigated consequence, no controls were credited in the evaluations, including not giving credit for the pit cladding, storage drums, or for the facility structure. The reason for this approach is to provide a good technical basis for the level of control required (i.e., safety class, safety significant, important to safety). These results should not be used to evaluate past or current operations at Pantex, as there are controls in place for which this analysis does not take credit.

The identification of the preventative and mitigative structures, systems, and components (SSCs), or Administrative Controls that are to be credited is in process. We will issue a separate safety classification document once the preliminary selection of controls is completed. We will be working with Pantex people to select the controls. The frequency and consequences of the events with controls will be evaluated and included in the classification document.

Please review the attached draft PHA and provide written comments to me. After all comments have been received I will contact you as to the best way to respond. To meet your schedule needs, it may be advantageous to have a meeting where all the commentors can be present and resolution can be reached. This is especially helpful in reaching quick resolution when there are multiple comments on one topic or where commentors have varying

AUTHORIT viewpoints Sincerel Larry Eppler Classified By cc: P. Stewart, MHC ed From: \_

DSR 25-R2# (Rev 3-11-97) Surves: 26-1546(1.10

56 MARE

Citz

HMENTS/E



WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

## PRELIMINARY HAZARDS ANALYSIS

## FOR

## THE SURPLUS PIT STORAGE FACILITY

# UPGRADE AT CONCEPTUAL DESIGN PHASE (U)

June 1997 ED TRO D DISS TION RIMIN CTIONS DER SEC 148 ENE ACT OF 1954, AS AME DED (42 S. M. PATEL

RO:

Date

Patent Status

This internal management report is being transmitted without DOE patent clearance, and no further dissemination or publication shall be made of the report without prior approval of the DOE-SR patent counsel.

Westinghouse Savannah River Company **Project Engineering and Construction Division** Aiken, SC 29808

6-10-97



SAVANNAH RIVER SITE PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY UNDER CONTRACT NO. DE-AC09-96SR18500

CORDER T

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

#### DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared by Westinghouse Savannah River Company (WSRC) for the United States Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC09-96SR18500 and is an account of work performed under that contract. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trademark, name, manufacturer or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply endorsement, recommendation, or favoring of same by WSRC or by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



UNCLASSIFIED DRAFT A

Key Words: Pit Plutonium Storage Hazards Analysis

**Retention:** Lifetime

### PRELIMINARY HAZARDS ANALYSIS

FOR

### THE SURPLUS PIT STORAGE FACILITY

# UPGRADE AT CONCEPTUAL DESIGN PHASE (U)

June 1997

K. R. B. Menger

Westinghouse Savannah River Company Project Engineering and Construction Division Aiken, SC 29808



UNCLASSIFIED

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY UNDER CONTRACT NO. DE-AC09-96SR18500

COMD

iii



UNCLASSIFIED WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

PROJECT:

BUILDING 12-66 SURPLUS PIT STORAGE FACILITY

DOCUMENT NUMBER: WSRC-TR-97-0180

TITLE:

PRELIMINARY HAZARDS ANALYSIS FOR THE SURPLUS PIT STORAGE FACILITY UPGRADE AT CONCEPTUAL DESIGN PHASE (U)

**REVISION NUMBER:** 

DRAFT A

**REVIEW SIGNATURES:** 

K. R. B. Menger, Originator

J. Copeland, Technical Reviewer

APPROVALS:

S. R. Salaymeh, Manager-HAG

M. J. Hitchler, Manager-SAES

10 JUN 97 DATE

\_\_\_\_\_

DATE

DATE

UNCLASSIFIED

iv

CONTO



#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1. INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 SURPLUS PIT STORAGE FACILITY DESCRIPTION	2
<ul> <li>1.2 HAZARDOUS MATERIAL INVENTORY.</li> <li>1.2.1 Maximum Radionuclide Inventory.</li> <li>1.2.2 Chemical Inventory.</li> </ul>	
1.3 ASSUMPTIONS	
2. PRELIMINARY HAZARDS ANALYSIS	5
<ul> <li>2.1 PRELIMINARY HAZARDS ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY</li> <li>2.1.1 Hazard Identification</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>2.2 HAZARD ANALYSIS RESULTS</li></ul>	
3. CONCLUSIONS	
4. REFERENCES	
FIGURE 1: SURPLUS PIT STORAGE FACILITY LAYOUT	
APPENDIX A: METHODOLOGY TABLES	
APPENDIX B: HAZARD IDENTIFICATION TABLES	
APPENDIX C: HAZARD EVALUATION TABLES	
APPENDIX D: CONSEQUENCE DETERMINATIONS	65

# UNCLASSIFIED and the second

# UNCLASSIFIED SRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table A-1	Event Categories And Relationship To Hazardous Material And Energy	
	Sources	24
Table A-2	Hazardous Energy Source Legend	
Table A-3	Event Frequency Evaluation Levels	
Table A-4	Evaluation Criteria	28
Table A-5	Risk Ranking Matrix	29
Table A-6	Risk Ranking Matrix - MEOW and Facility	30
Table A-7	Hazard Evaluation Table, Unmitigated Consequences	
Table A-8	Hazard Evaluation Table, Mitigated Consequences	
Table B-1	Hazard Identification Tables	.34
Table C-1	Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Pit Storage Room, Unmitigated	
	Consequences	
Table C-2	Hazard Evaluation Table for Loading Dock/Ramp, Unmitigated	
T 11 C 0	Consequences	45
Table C-3	Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Control Room, Unmitigated	
Table C 4	Consequences	
Table C-4	Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Interlock, Unmitigated Consequences	
Table C-5	Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Equipment Room, Unmitigated	
Table D 1	Consequences	61
Table D-1	Material Released Per Pit	70
Table D-2	CEDE Values for Ground Level Release of 1 kg of Pu-239	70
Table D-3	Summary of Consequences for Representative Events	71

UNULASSIFICD

San Del AL

vi

# UNCLASSIFIE DRAFT A

#### LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

А	Anticipated
AGV	Automated Guided Vehicle
ARF	Airborne Release Fraction
BIO	Basis for Interim Operation
CEDE	Committed Effective Dose Equivalent
DBA	Design Basis Accident
DOT	Department of Transportation
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EU	Extremely Unlikely
HA	Hazards Analysis
HAD	Hazard Assessment Document
HEPA	High Efficiency Particulate Air filter
HVAC	Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning
MAR	Material-at-Risk
MEOI	Maximum Exposed Off-Site Individual
MEOW	Maximum Exposed On-Site Worker
MORT	Management Oversight Risk Tree
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
NPH	Natural Phenomena Hazard
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Act
OSRs	Operational Safety Requirements
PHA	Preliminary Hazards Analysis
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RF	Respirable Fraction
SAR	Safety Analysis Report
SARP	Safety Analysis Report for Packaging
SPSF	Surplus Pit Storage Facility
SSCs	Structures, Systems, and Components
U	Unlikely
UPS	Uninterruptible Power Supply
WSRC	Westinghouse Savannah River Company

# UNCLASSIFIED

12

vii

ARARA



DRAFT A

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A comprehensive review of hazards associated with the proposed design and operation of the Surplus Pit Storage Facility, Building 12-66 (SPSF), was performed to identify potential hazardous event scenarios. The review is based upon initial design information given in Reference 1, Preliminary Design Report, Surplus Pit Storage Upgrade Building 12-66. To determine the risk of hazardous events, a Preliminary Hazards Analysis was performed.

The offsite dose to the maximally exposed individual at the site boundary from some unmitigated events exceeds the Evaluation Guidelines. Based on the analyses presented in this report, structures, systems or components (SSCs) will be required to perform a Safety Class function to mitigate or reduce the frequency of these events.

Also, there are events that exceed the onsite radiological Evaluation Guidelines. Based on the analyses presented in this report, structures, systems or components (SSCs) will be required to perform a Safety Significant or Important to Safety function to mitigate or reduce the frequency of these events. These events will be evaluated by a Structure and System Classification engineer for appropriate Defense in Depth features.

No chemicals have been identified for use in the Surplus Pit Storage Facility (Ref. 1). Chemicals for use in other facilities could, however, be delivered to the Loading Dock which serves a number of functions, one of which will be the receiving of pit containers by the SPSF. Considering the shared functions of the dock, these chemicals are treated in this analysis as transient and are evaluated only to the extent that they could be initiators for events which could impact the SPSF radiological inventory. Hazards associated with the release of these chemicals are assumed to have been evaluated in other documents. There is no hazardous chemical release consequence concern associated with the SPSF operations.

Other items containing small quantities of radiological material could be delivered to the loading dock as well. These materials will be considered in the same way as chemicals, i.e., they are considered only as potential initiators for events which could impact the SPSF radiological inventory or its personnel.

ARAMA



#### UNCLASSIFIED WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this Preliminary Hazards Analysis (PHA) is to identify and assess potential hazards associated with the operations of the Building 12-66 Surplus Pit Storage Facility. This PHA covers the pit storage room as well as the associated support rooms and equipment.

The Hazards Analysis is the basis for preliminary identification of Safety Class Structures, Systems, and Components (SSCs) and administrative controls to protect the offsite public, and the basis for final identification of safety significant and important to safety Structures, Systems, and Components (SSCs) and administrative controls to protect the onsite worker and protect the facility from damage. A Design Basis Accident (DBA) analysis, performed using the results of this HA, will be the basis for final identification of safety class SSCs and administrative controls to protect the offsite public.

Since modifications to Building 12-66 are at the conceptual design phase at the time this report is being prepared, this analysis will be a Preliminary Hazards Analysis. This PHA will be used for identifying and assessing potential hazards and specifying functional attributes of safety systems, structures, and components during the next design phase. Continuous coordination between the facility design process and the facility safety analysis process is necessary to ensure that all functional requirements that could impact design are identified.

#### **1.1 SURPLUS PIT STORAGE FACILITY DESCRIPTION**

Building 12-66 is located in the south end of the Material Access Area of Zone 12 within the Protected Area of the Pantex Plant and is currently in use as a weapon components parts warehouse. A design is being developed for the purpose of modifying Building 12-66 to provide a facility that is safe, secure, and equipped for long-term storage of surplus pits which are to be relocated from Zone 4 (Ref. 1). Remote handling capabilities (using an automated guided vehicle or "AGV") will be provided for container storage, retrieval, and inventory functions to minimize potential for radiation exposure to operators. This remote handling process is known as "Stage-Right" and is currently being used in Zone 4 (Ref. 2).

The Surplus Pit Storage Facility consists of the Pit Storage Room, i.e., the 12-66 building, an interlock at the southeast corner of the pit storage room, a control room located in the southeast corner of Building 12-82 (adjacent to and east of 12-66), a loading dock, and an equipment room which houses such equipment as HVAC equipment and electrical breakers (see Figure 1).

Building 12-66 is a single story, tornado resistant, concrete facility. It has dimensions of approximately 100 feet by 200 feet, and has 20 foot ceilings. The exterior walls of the Building are constructed of reinforced concrete one foot thick. The equipment room, attached to Building 12-66 at the north end, and the interlock are of similar construction. The roof for these three rooms is of construction similar to the walls.

The loading dock will house the battery charging station and could house the pallet turner. An alternate location for the pallet turner may be the interlock. (This alternate location will not create any hazards different than those which would exist with the pallet turner located on the



SRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

dock.). The Control Room will house the computer control and monitoring equipment for the AGV.

The containers to be moved from Zone 4 will be either AL-R8 or AT-400A type drums in either "4 pack" or "6 pack" configuration. Most of the containers moved will be of the AL-R8 type.

#### **1.2 HAZARDOUS MATERIAL INVENTORY**

1.2.1 Maximum Radionuclide Inventory (Ref. 1)

											1.61	1.														
	V	- 1	× 1	61	<i>a</i> .	-1		٠		F	·4-	10				~	1º	1		e	1.41	÷ ,				
						Ł				8															1.2	1.13
	1	- 7 -	25	»	and .	819	$r^{\prime}$			e.	$\sim$	-	÷	-		÷.			1.4				* 4	•	*	-(6)()
			a.	-	Ł	-63	ť		1-	634	± 1	<b>2</b>		8	2.5		1.6	10	4	÷			_ 1		10 0	
			*		•														х.				ć		)*	$\left \right\rangle$
	P-	a	ħ.	01	m	ie.	4	$P^{i}_{i}$	1	ы		2'		٧3		ę.	87	-		-				2		1
		8.1	A.	1	10 a	41	٥	4		ii 	50	5	1	5	1.5-	8	·d	4	he.		4				2.6	
																	-1-								_	1
_									-														-		Tiv	(2)
		-																							10	51

#### 1.2.2 Chemical Inventory

No chemicals have been identified for use in the Surplus Pit Storage Facility (Ref. 1). Chemicals for use in other facilities will be delivered to the Loading Dock, which is shared with the SPSF. The SPSF will use the dock for receiving pit containers on pallets. For the purposes of this evaluation, the chemicals delivered to the dock are considered only as potential initiators for events which could impact the SPSF radiological inventory. There is no hazardous chemical release consequence concern associated with the SPSF operations.

#### **1.3 ASSUMPTIONS**

Assumptions used in this analysis include:

#### Loading Dock/Ramp

There will be a total of no more than six "6 packs" on the Loading Dock and in the Ramp at any given time (36 pits).

#### Pit Storage Room/Interlock/Equipment Room

There will be no combustibles in the pit storage room or interlock other than those associated with the AGV (or shielded forklift if it is used) (Ref. 1).

ON P

The interlock is assumed to contain no more than one pallet containing six pits at any given time.

ASSI

# UNCLASSIFIED DRAFT A

#### Criticality

Building design (includes such features as poisons, or dividing walls) will allow storage of all 12,044 containers such that the array in Building 12-66 will remain sufficiently sub-critical under normal conditions.

The building design will allow stacking of the pallets in any combination (provided they are stacked in normal storage locations) with the array remaining sufficiently sub-critical.

A criticality in the Pit Storage Room is assumed to be possible as the result of an event which causes the array of pallets to be disrupted such that a number of the pallets topple and fall to the floor. The initiator for this event could be an earthquake, a forklift collision (from equipment failure or human error), or pallet failure.

A criticality on the Loading Dock or in the Interlock is not possible, given the limited number of pit containers on the dock. The maximum number of pallets which may be physically located on the dock at any given time is six (36 pits). The maximum number of pallets which may be physically located in the Interlock at any given time is one (6 pits).

All criticality assumptions will be verified in the criticality analysis.

#### Fire

The type and form of material contained in a pit, and pit design preclude spontaneous combustion involving pyrophoric material (Pu-239). The material is assumed to undergo oxidation before ignition would occur.





#### 2. PRELIMINARY HAZARDS ANALYSIS

This section describes the Preliminary Hazards Analysis (PHA) performed for the Building 12-66 Surplus Pit Storage Facility. The PHA is the initial analytical effort and systematically presents an analysis of potential process-related, natural phenomena, and external hazards that can affect the public, the workers, the environment, and the facility due to single or multiple failures. This analysis considers the potential for both equipment failure and human error.

The PHA provides a thorough, predominantly qualitative evaluation of the spectrum of risks to the public, the workers, the facility, and the environment due to accidents involving the identified hazards. It consists of two basic analytical activities: Hazard Identification and Hazard Evaluation. DOE-STD-3009-94 (Ref. 3) requires that the hazards analysis comprehensively identify potential events, event initiators, and dominant scenarios; estimate their frequencies and consequences; identify prevention and mitigation features; and present the results in terms of relative risk. Estimates of consequences and frequencies are performed in the hazards analysis such that attention is focused on those scenarios that are of greatest concern (i.e., highest risk).

#### 2.1 PRELIMINARY HAZARDS ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

This section presents the hazards analysis methodology that is used to identify and characterize hazards and to perform a systematic evaluation of postulated hazardous event scenarios. The results of the preliminary hazards analysis are presented in Section 2.2.

#### 2.1.1 Hazard Identification

Hazard Identification is a comprehensive, systematic process by which all known hazards (hazardous materials and energy) associated with the facility are identified, recorded, and screened by a team of individuals representing the stakeholder organizations. The Hazards Analysis team is typically represented by such functions as safety documentation projects, hazard and accident analyses, risk and consequence analyses, facility operations/engineering, safety system/functional classification, and Technical Safety Requirements development. The identification of potential preventive and mitigative features for each hazard begins during Hazard Identification.

A comprehensive inventory, which is developed prior to conducting the PHA, produces a listing of all known radiological and chemical hazards. This information is a key input to the process of Hazard Identification. Additional hazards may be identified as a result of chemical interactions. For those facilities containing chemicals as part of their inventory, a chemical mixing study is completed as part of Hazard Identification.

Hazard Identification is divided into three steps; 1) division of the facility into "sections," 2) facility walkdowns, and 3) identification of common hazards and common hazardous events.



DRAFT A

#### Division of the Facility

Partitioning of the facility into "sections" facilitates hazard identification and evaluation. These sections may be individual unit operations, individual or grouped facility systems, specific function(s), and/or physical boundaries inside the facility.

#### Facility Walkdowns

Facility walkdowns include both physical walkdowns and information (or paper) walkdowns. Physical walkdowns provide first-hand observation of actual facility systems, processes, practices, equipment, and inventory. Information or paper walkdowns consist of a review of existing safety documentation, design/system drawings, procedures, functional performance requirements, etc. in the context of Hazard Identification. Physical and/or information walkdowns should be done to identify hazardous materials and energy sources for each facility section. Tables A-1 and A-2 provide a list of generic facility hazards and are useful in conducting hazard identification. This list is based on the DOE Management Oversight Risk Tree (MORT) methodology (Ref. 4).

The paper walkdown includes a review of the facility description documents, inventory, existing safety documentation such as SARs, BIOs, OSRs, Technical Standards, Project Design Documents, or Fire Hazards Analyses, and/or consultations with facility, system, and/or process experts.

When performing physical or paper walkdowns, a matrix or table containing the information from Table A-2 is typically used as an aid in documenting the results of the Hazard Identification process. Hazard Identification Tables are developed for each facility section. In some cases, e.g., a facility has only a few sections with no sub-sections or unit operations identified, a single table may be adequate to capture all the hazards.

#### Screening of Common Hazards

The third step in the Hazard Identification process is the identification of common hazards. "Common hazards" are defined as hazards that are routinely encountered in general industry and construction, and for which national consensus codes and/or standards (e.g., OSHA and transportation safety) exist to guide safe design and operation. In accordance with DOE-STD-3009-94 (Ref. 3), industrial hazards and routinely accepted hazards are not typically evaluated. Standard industrial hazards and routinely accepted hazards are evaluated only to the extent that they could act as initiators and contributors to events that result in radiological and/or chemical release events. The following characteristics are used to determine the hazards that are considered standard industrial and routinely accepted:

The hazard is controlled by OSHA regulations or national consensus standards (e.g., ASME, ANSI, NFPA, IEEE, NEC), where these standards are adequate to define special safety requirements, unless in quantities or situations that initiate events with serious impact to the public, workers, or environment.

6

WILLOW DA



DRAFT A

· Hazards such as noise, electricity, flammable materials, welding operations, small quantities of chemicals that would likely be found in homes or general retail outlets, and hazardous materials transported on the open road in DOT specification containers are considered to be common hazards encountered in everyday life.

Examples of common hazards include those involving:

- specific materials (e.g., lead and asbestos) that have their own control program,
- thermal energy sources (potential for burns),
- electrical shock hazards
- gas cylinders transported and stored in DOT configuration and within design limits.
- personnel pinches, trips, falls, slips, etc.,
- confined space hazards,
- hazards typically found in office areas.

Protection against industrial hazards and routinely accepted hazards is provided by practicing basic safety in the workplace. Such hazards are formally and systematically treated by the following programmatic elements:

- · Safety Standards at the Pantex Plant define basic site-wide safety policies and minimum requirements. These Standards contain rules and procedures developed by departments and facilities for activities within their areas of responsibility and requires compliance with DOE Orders and OSHA regulations, at a minimum, for industrial safety.
- The operating philosophy at the Pantex Site is that the safety and health of employees is the first and utmost priority. Policies are implemented at the facility level through facility-specific procedures.

The PHA team examines each identified hazard for each section based on material/energy types and quantities using the general guidance given above and considers its potential contribution as an initiator for events involving release of radiological material, hazardous energy, or hazardous chemicals. If the identified hazard does not meet the appropriate screening criteria for identification as a common hazard, then the hazard is not considered common and is carried forward to the Hazard Evaluation step.

#### 2.1.2 Hazard Evaluation

UNCLANNIFIED CO

The Hazard Evaluation constitutes the primary focal point of the PHA. Hazards are characterized in the context of actual or anticipated facility operations and processes by considering feasible events which could result in a release of hazardous material or energy, estimating initiating event frequency, estimating consequences of the release, and identifying

MANNA UNCLASSIF

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

preventors and mitigators. The purpose of the Hazard Evaluation is to ensure a comprehensive assessment of facility hazards and focus attention on those events that pose the greatest risk to the public, onsite workers, and the environment.

The Hazard Evaluation is performed to meet the requirements of DOE-STD-3009-94 (Ref. 3); and to comply with Pantex Specific Application Guide for DOE Standard 3009-94, AAO-G-3009, (Ref. 5), and the WSRC Hazard Analysis Methodology Manual (Ref. 6).

The scope of Hazard Evaluation includes:

- All aspects of facility process and operation.
- Natural phenomena (e.g. earthquakes, tornadoes, straight-line winds), external events (e.g. aircraft and vehicular impact), and nuclear criticality (where applicable).
- Consideration of the entire spectrum of possible events for a given hazard in terms of both frequency and consequence levels.
- Hazards addressed by other programs and regulations (e.g. PSM, OSHA, RCRA, DOT, EPA) if loss of control of the hazard will result in a hazardous material release.

The scope of Hazard Evaluation does not include:

- Willful acts, such as sabotage.
- Hazardous events that meet the screening criteria given in Section 2.1.1.

Detailed information regarding hazardous material and energy sources in the context of facility section and/or whole facility operations are the bases for specific release events. Event categorization, identification of event cause(s), assignment of initiating event frequency and unmitigated consequence level, identification of common or standard industrial hazardous events, initial risk binning, identification of mitigative and preventive features, and final consequence determination are tasks performed during Hazard Evaluation.

Information related to Hazard Evaluation is collected and organized in "Hazard Evaluation Tables." (Tables A-7 and A-8 of Appendix A). These tables are a useful guide for performing Hazard Evaluation, and they provide an effective format for documenting Hazard Evaluation results. A separate Hazard Evaluation Table is constructed for each facility section. Information in these tables includes:

- Event Number
- Event Category
- Postulated Event Description (includes location and hazard source)
- Causes
- Initiating Event Frequency Level

C ADDING

Unmitigated Consequences to On-Site Worker, Facility, and Off-Site individual; and impact on other systems
 UNCLASSIFIED

8



- Risk Bin Number
- Preventive Features/Resulting frequency estimate
- Mitigative Features
- Mitigated Consequences

Additional detail and pertinent methodology information regarding each of the Hazard Evaluation Table categories is provided in the following sections.

#### 2.1.2.1 Event Number

Events are numbered to provide each with a sequential reference. The numbering system is chosen such that facility section is identified mnemonically. For example, a facility section identified as "Pump House" might be abbreviated as "PH" in the Hazard Evaluation table. Events associated with the pump house would then be numbered PH-1, PH-2, etc.

#### 2.1.2.2 Event Category

Events are categorized according to the nature of the postulated event description. A standard list of event categories, based on those given in Appendix E of DOE/TIC-11603 (Ref. 7), is used. They are as follows:

- E-1 Fire
- E-2 Explosion
- E-3 Loss of Containment/Confinement
- E-4 Direct Radiological/Chemical Exposure
- E-5 Nuclear Criticality
- E-6 External Hazards
- E-7 Natural Phenomena

Events are generally categorized according to the event description rather than the event cause. For example, a facility fire might be a postulated event that is caused by an earthquake or some other natural phenomena. This event would fall under category E-1 (Fire) rather than E-7 (Natural Phenomena). Table A-1 gives additional information regarding event categories and associated hazardous material and energy sources.

#### 2.1.2.3 Postulated Event Description

A brief description of a postulated event is given in this column of the Hazard Evaluation Tables. The event description clearly defines the nature of the event. It includes the type of event, its location, hazard source, affected system(s) or equipment, and any pertinent operating characteristics.

Using the Hazard Identification Tables as a basis, the PHA team develops event scenarios for each facility section wherever a potential exists for a release of hazardous energy and/or material. The scenarios cover the entire spectrum of possible events for a given hazard; from small consequence events to reasonable worst-case conditions. Unlike "worst-case," "reasonable worst-case" does not necessarily consider every parameter in its most unfavorable

9

LADDIFICI

UNWATION



DRAFT A

SIFIED

state. For example, if a toxic material is normally handled as a liquid at room temperature during processing, a reasonable worst-case release does not have to consider a spill with the liquid at 130°F.

#### 2.1.2.4 Causes

A cause specifically states the failure, error, operational, and/or environmental condition that could initiate the postulated event. Causes need to be clearly identified to support frequency evaluation. The Hazard Identification Tables are used as a guide in developing specific causes for release events.

2.1.2.5 Initiating Event Frequency Level

Event frequency evaluation is a qualitative or quantitative process that involves assigning a frequency level to each event in the Hazard Evaluation Tables. Frequency levels and descriptions are summarized in the Frequency Evaluation Levels (Table A-3) which are based on DOE-STD-3009-94 (Ref. 3).

The frequency level determination is based on the event's root cause(s). Sources of frequency information could include: generic initiator data base, engineering calculations, analyses for other facilities, and expert opinion. The frequency level is recorded in the Hazard Evaluation Tables according to the lettering scheme given in Table A-3.

Uncertainties in frequency levels are accommodated by erring in the conservative direction from best-estimate values. This practice is particularly important when an event frequency is just below the next highest frequency level. For example, the PHA team, considering the sources, methods, and uncertainty associated with frequency determination, might collectively decide to call an event "Anticipated" if the event has been estimated to have an initiator frequency at the high end of the "Unlikely" level.

#### 2.1.2.6 Unmitigated Consequences

Event consequences are documented by specifying the impact on the receptors (described below). Any potential impact of consequences on other systems is also documented in the Hazard Evaluation Tables.

For PHA purposes, consequences are defined as 1) the dose or exposure at specified receptor locations based upon unmitigated release of hazardous material and 2) the impact to the worker or facility. Consequences are a function of the type and characteristics of the hazard, the quantity released, the release mechanism, relative location of the release, and any relevant transport characteristics. Consequences are determined from: (1) simple source term calculations, (2) existing safety documentation, and/or (3) qualitative assessment. The PHA team utilizes its discretion, expertise, and knowledge of facility hazards to select one or more of the above methods appropriate for consequence determination. Unlike frequency levels, that cover two orders of magnitude, consequence levels sometimes span less than one order of magnitude. Thus, a more refined effort may be required to determine the appropriate consequence level for a given event and receptor. As in frequency evaluation, the consequence should err in the

CHEERING CONTROLLED NUCLER NEOD CHION



DRAFT A

conservative direction, especially for those events with consequences at the high end of a given level.

Consequences are evaluated at various receptor locations and for the facility to assess health and environmental effects associated with the postulated event. Table A-4 gives the consequence levels for radiological releases and their relationship to specified receptor locations. Receptors are Offsite and Onsite. Offsite receptors are the public, or everyone outside the site boundary. Onsite workers are considered to be those inside the Plant boundary including those in and near the facility. The Hazards Analysis is concerned with the maximally exposed individual at each receptor location.

The PHA team also performs a qualitative assessment of the impact non-release events have on the facility being evaluated. Table A-4 gives the consequence levels for these events.

The Hazard Evaluation Tables should provide, for each of the postulated release events, the impact of the event on the receptors and facility. This information is documented in the column labeled "Health and Safety Consequence Level" under the "Unmitigated" heading of the Hazard Evaluation Tables. Common or standard industrial hazards are noted accordingly and are excluded from further consideration.

For completeness, any potential impacts on other systems are also considered and are listed in the Hazard Evaluation Tables in the "Impact on Other Systems" columns under the "Unmitigated" heading.

#### 2.1.2.7 Risk Ranking

Using event frequency and consequence levels, the PHA team "bins" events in frequencyconsequence space to assess relative risk in accordance with AAO-G-3009 (Ref. 5) and the WSRC Hazards Analysis Methodology Manual (Ref. 6). The objective of risk binning is to focus attention on those events that pose the greatest risk to the public, onsite receptors, facility, and the environment. In accordance with AAO-G-3009 (Ref. 5), higher risk events are candidates for additional analysis and/or safety classification evaluation.

Tables A-5 and A-6 are risk binning matrices for the receptor locations considered in the PHA (i.e., onsite/facility and offsite). In each of these tables, bins are defined by a rectangular matrix in frequency-consequence space. Each bin is numbered, but numbering is for identification purposes only. Risk severity is not proportional to the magnitude of the bin label.

Table A-5 is the risk binning matrix for the Onsite receptor and Facility. The unshaded bins, (i.e., 1, 4, and 7), represent risk that exceeds the evaluation guidelines for onsite workers (Table A-4). Events falling into these bins require SSCs which will perform a Safety Significant function to protect the onsite worker. The lightly shaded bins (bins 2 and 5) represent "situations of concern". Events falling into these bins are those for which controls (e.g., SSCs, administrative controls) do not warrant the level of coverage needed in Technical Safety Requirements but are "important to safety" such that operations should not be conducted unless these controls are operable. The darker shaded bins in Table A-5 represent those events which require no further safety controls or analyses.

UNCLASSIFIED

CENDULED CONTROLLED NOC FAR BITONNATION



Table A-6 is the risk binning matrix for Offsite receptors, i.e., anyone who is located beyond the site boundary. The unshaded bins, (i.e., 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 10), represent risk that exceeds the evaluation guidelines for offsite receptors (Table A-4). Events falling into these bins and have a consequence greater than 25 rem require SSCs which will perform a Safety Class function to protect the offsite individuals and evaluation as design basis accidents. All other events falling in the unshaded bins require safety significant SSCs. The shaded bins in Table A-6 represent those events which require no further analysis or safety controls.

The Hazard Evaluation Tables provide, for each of the postulated release events, a bin number representing risk at each receptor location.

#### 2.1.2.8 Preventive Features

A preventive feature is any feature that is relied on to act to reduce the frequency of an initiating cause that could result in the release of hazardous material or energy to an unwanted location. Preventive features might include engineered features (e.g. structures, systems, components, etc.) and administrative controls (e.g. procedures, policies, programs, etc.), operating individually or in combination. The Hazard Evaluation Tables are formatted such that a distinction is made between administrative and engineered (design) features. Preventive features are those which are assumed to be operable prior to an event and are not required to be operable during the event or post event.

#### 2.1.2.9 Mitigative Features

Mitigative features are any features that are relied on to reduce the consequences associated with the release of hazardous material or energy. Mitigative features are those which are assumed to be operable during an event or after the event, and are not required to be operating prior to the event initiation. Therefore, mitigative features must be capable of withstanding the environment of the event. As with preventive features, mitigative features might include engineered features or administrative controls operating individually or in combination. The Hazard Evaluation Tables make the distinction between administrative and engineered (design) features.

#### 2.1.2.10 Mitigated Consequences

Having identified the preventers and mitigators which act to reduce the frequency or consequences of hazardous events, the next step is to estimate and record the resulting frequency and consequences for each event after taking credit for these controls. This step includes verification that the controls would move the risk associated with those events from the unshaded portion of Tables A-5 and A-6 to the shaded areas.

12

en the first

SRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

#### 2.2 HAZARD ANALYSIS RESULTS

As discussed in Section 2.1, the PHA consists of two basic analytical activities: hazard identification and hazard evaluation. This section provides an in-depth discussion of the results from the performance of these activities for the SPSF.

The SPSF PHA team included, on an as-required basis, representatives from the following disciplines:

- Hazard Analysis
- Risk Management/Analysis
- Projects Engineering
- Facilities Operations/Engineering
- Fire Protection
- Criticality Analysis
- Packaging and Shipping

#### 2.2.1 Hazard Identification

In accordance with the methodology given in Section 2.1.1, hazards associated with the SPSF were systematically identified by listing hazardous materials, energy sources, and their locations in tables to ensure completeness. Screening was performed to eliminate material/energy types and quantities that are considered "common hazards." Hazard Identification was divided into three steps; 1) division of the facility into "sections," 2) facility/information walkdowns, and 3) identification of common hazards.

## Division of the Building 12-66 Surplus Pit Storage Facility

The Surplus Pit Storage Facility was divided into five sections to facilitate hazard identification and evaluation. These sections were based upon the physical locations of the various rooms or areas, their contents and functions, and flow of material in the building. The sections are (with acronym used in the Hazard Evaluation Table):

- Pit Storage Room (PS)
- Loading Dock/Ramp (LD)

TENCLASSI

- Control Room (CR)
- Interlock (IN)
- Equipment Room (ER)

#### Facility Walkdowns

A physical walkdown of Building 12-66 was performed with personnel from Manufacturing and Facilities Divisions. In addition, a number of documents associated with the current and proposed functions of Building 12-66 were reviewed with Project Management and facility personnel. These included:

UNCLASSIFIED

• Preliminary Design Report for the upgrade of Building 12-66 (Ref. 1)



- Existing Safety Analysis Report for Building 12-66 (Ref. 8)
- Operating Procedures for the Stage Right process (Ref. 2, 9, and 10)
- Existing Fire Hazards Analysis for Building 12-66 (Ref. 11)
- SARP for AL-R8 containers (Ref. 12)

#### Screening of Common Hazards

Using the guidance provided in Section 2.1.1, members of the PHA team examined each identified hazard for each section to determine its potential contribution to events resulting in release of radiological material, hazardous energy, or hazardous chemicals.

If the identified hazard did not meet the appropriate screening criteria for identification as a common hazard, the hazard was carried forward through the complete Hazard Evaluation step.

#### Results of Hazard Identification

Table B-1 of Appendix B, Hazard Identification Tables, lists identified hazards (those not screened as common industrial or routinely accepted according to criteria in Section 2.1.1) and corresponding locations for each section in the Surplus Pit Storage Facility. Since no chemicals were identified for use in the SPSF, no chemical mixing study was necessary as part of the Hazard Identification process.

#### 2.2.2 Hazard Evaluation

The Hazard Evaluation results for the Surplus Pit Storage Facility are documented in Appendix C (Tables C-1 through C-5) of this report.

One of the purposes of the Hazard Evaluation at the conceptual design phase is to identify the potential events that could impact the onsite receptors, the facility, or the offsite receptors. The results from the Hazard Evaluation can be used for future safety classification of the Structure, Systems, and Components in the Surplus Pit Storage Facility.

To support the selection of the applicable risk bin for the identified events, representative scenarios were developed. Consequences were then semi-quantitatively developed for these representative scenarios. For the consequence determination, no credit was taken for any controls (i.e., it was assumed that there was no cladding, there were no containers, there was no control on combustibles, the building provided no structural barrier, etc.). In developing the scenarios, the following three parameters were varied depending on the event and the location:

14

- (1) Number of pits involved in the event
- (2) Material per pit that would be released during the event **IINCLASSIFIED**

(3) Dose rate per kg of material released

Each of these is discussed below.

<u>Number of pits:</u> The representative events were always assumed to involve the total pits available in the area: for the loading dock, the maximum number of pits is 36; for the interlock, the maximum number of pits is 6; and for the pit storage room, the maximum number of pits is 12,044. For some events, the pits were subdivided to account for different conditions impacting different pits.

CONFORMER

UNCLASSIFIED

DRAFT A

<u>Material released per pit:</u> To determine the amount of material released per pit, the damage ratio, airborne release fraction, airborne release rate, and respirable fraction had to be evaluated based on the scenario. Based on the events identified, the first four scenarios developed assumed the release was due to thermal stress or oxidation. Release quantities were developed for 1000°C, 600°C, 200°C and 20°C (Ref. 13). Additionally, for the events that included a physical impact to the pits, a conditioning of the pits was used to develop a conservative oxidation layer prior to impact. This conditioning assumed 90°C and 95% relative humidity for a period of 30 days. The results showing material release per pit are summarized in Table D-1.

a de a contra por a contra por

Dose per kg released: To develop the doses, centerline effective dose equivalent (EDE) values for ground release on one kilogram of Pu-239 were calculated for the onsite receptor and the maximally exposed offsite individual (Ref. 14). For the onsite receptor, values were developed for the 50th quantile without regard to sector, and for the offsite receptor values were for the 95th quantile without regard to sector. To account for the varying event conditions and times for release of the material, the dose was calculated for releases of 3 minutes, 30 minutes, and one hour. The results showing the onsite and offsite dose per kg released are summarized in Table D-2.

To determine the representative consequences, five representative event scenarios were developed:

17 11 31 15 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18

- (1) large fire
- (2) small fire
- (3) oxidation followed by an impact

Uncerno DINIED CO

at 1: 224 64 pr 20

- (4) oxidation at 20 C for the storage life
- (5) aircraft impact

Events (2), (3), (4), and (5) are applicable to multiple locations, and consequences were calculated for each location. The description of each scenario and supporting calculations are provided in Appendix D. A summary of the resulting consequences to onsite workers and the offsite public is given in Table D-3.

15

For each postulated event in the hazard identification table that involved the releases SIFIED



radiological material, the representative event that would bound the potential release of the postulated event was selected. Then the consequences of that representative event with the frequency of the postulated event were used to bin the postulated event using Tables A-5 and A-6. The representative event that was used is identified in the hazard evaluation table in the "Health and Safety Consequence Level" column. The applicable bins are identified in the "Risk Bin Number" column.

#### Energy Release Not Involving Hazardous Material

Hazardous events that did not result in a release of hazardous material were evaluated for impacts to the onsite worker. If the hazardous event was identified as a common industrial hazard where the identification of the required controls is covered by a code, standard, or Pantex program, reference was made to the applicable code, standard, or program in the Hazard Evaluation Table (column identified as "Health and Safety Consequence Level"). For all other events, the level of consequence to the onsite worker was determined in accordance with Table A-5. The consequence level and risk bin are identified in the Hazard Evaluation Tables.

#### Facility Damage

Facility damage due to NPH events is assessed in accordance with DOE-STD-1021 (Ref. 15) with the appropriate performance category assigned. Therefore, this damage is not assessed during the Hazard Evaluation. For the Hazard Evaluation process, facility damage for non-NPH events and facility damage due to contamination were evaluated. Contamination was qualitatively evaluated using the consequence values of the representative events identified for onsite and offsite receptors. Facility damage was qualitatively assessed in accordance with Table A-5. The consequence level and risk bin are identified in the Hazard Evaluation Tables. When an event could impact more than one criteria (e.g., facility damage and facility contamination), the consequence level and risk bin for the worst consequence are recorded.

#### Environmental Impact

Environmental impact was assessed as an environmental contamination according to the criteria provided in Table A-6. The level of contamination was qualitatively evaluated using the consequence values for the associated representative event used for offsite receptor exposure. Only in cases where the exposure was less than the threshold for "low", and it was determined that more than negligible contamination would occur, was the consequence level due to contamination used. For all other cases, the exposure to the offsite individual governed the consequence level and risk bin determination.

#### Criticality

The isotope of concern in the SPSF is Pu-239. Because of the amount of Pu-239 involved, criticality is assumed to be a credible event. With regard to storage capability, it is assumed that the building is designed such that it could contain all 12,044 of the pit containers and still remain sufficiently sub-critical. The mechanism by which a criticality might occur is postulated to involve failure of the storage system such that the containers are reconfigured with an unfavorable geometry. This could be the result of an AGV collision (causing several Feller) to

16



fall to the floor), pallet failure due to corrosion, or an earthquake. Of the sections identified, the concern for a criticality applies only to the Pit Storage Room. A detailed analysis is being performed to provide more definitive information regarding criticality potential.

#### Hazardous Chemical Release Consequence

No chemicals have been identified for use in the SPSF operations (Ref. 1).

#### Hazard Evaluation Results

The hazard evaluation process identified a total of 73 potential hazardous event scenarios. Of these, 7 scenarios were determined to be common hazardous events that have controls determined by other parts of the Pantex Integrated Safety Management program and did not require further evaluation or additional controls.

For the offsite receptor, there were 11 scenarios that require the identification of preliminary Safety Class controls (i.e., those that are in risk bins 1, 4, or 7 of Table A-6 and have a consequence greater than 25 Rem. These are indicated by an asterisk in the Hazard Evaluation Tables). In addition, there were 12 scenarios that require Safety Significant Controls (i.e., those that are in risk bins 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 of Table A-6 and have consequences less than 25 rem). No further analyses or controls to protect the offsite public are required for 17 events (those that are in risk bins 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13 of Table A-6). For 26 events, the consequences were not applicable to the offsite public.

For the onsite worker, there were 29 scenarios that require the identification of Safety Significant controls (i.e., those that are in risk bins 1, 4, or 7 of Table A-5). There were 10 scenarios that require Important to Safety controls (i.e., those that are in risk bins 2 or 5 of Table A-5). No further analyses or controls to protect the onsite worker are required for 21 events (those that are in risk bins 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 of Table A-5). For 6 events, determination of consequences was not required (i.e., the event was considered but was deemed impossible, e.g., a criticality in the Interlock, event IN-7; or, the consequences of the event were covered by another event, e.g., event ER-5).

For the Facility, there were there were 12 scenarios that require the identification of Safety Significant controls (i.e., those that are in risk bins 1, 4, or 7 of Table A-5). There were 20 scenarios that require Important to Safety controls (i.e., those that are in risk bins 2 or 5 of Table A-5). No further analyses or controls are required for 28 events (those that are in risk bins 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 of Table A-5). Determination of consequences was not required for 6 events.

17

IN WADY

UNCLASSIFIED

KWAT



#### 3. CONCLUSIONS

A comprehensive review of hazards associated with the Building 12-66 Surplus Pit Storage Facility was performed to identify postulated hazardous event scenarios. To determine the unmitigated risk of these events, a Preliminary Hazards Analysis was performed; the results are summarized in Appendices B, C, and D. The offsite dose to the maximally exposed individual at the site boundary from some unmitigated events exceeds the Evaluation Guidelines. Based on the analyses presented in this report, structures, systems or components (SSCs) will be required to perform a Safety Class function to mitigate or reduce the frequency of these events.

In addition, there are events with consequences below 25 rem but exceed the offsite acceptance criteria defined in AAO-G-3009 (Ref. 5), and there are events that exceed the onsite acceptance criteria for worker safety and facility damage. Based on the analyses presented in this report, structures, systems or components (SSCs) will be required to perform a Safety Significant or Important to Safety function to mitigate or reduce the frequency of these events.

Future actions in the development of the Hazards Analysis for the SPSF will include the identification of the controls and the determination of the consequences with the controls. The result of these actions will be incorporated into later revisions of this document.



#### 4. REFERENCES

- 1. L. L. Skeen, <u>Preliminary Design Report, Surplus Pit Storage Upgrade Building 12-066</u>, Rev. F, Mason & Hanger Corporation, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas, April 25, 1997.
- 2. <u>Procedures for Stage Right (U)</u>, 7-0872, Issue Q, September 16, 1996, Pantex Plant, Mason & Hanger Silas Mason Co., Inc.
- Preparation Guide for U.S. Department of Energy Nonreactor Nuclear Facility Safety Analysis Reports, DOE-STD-3009-94, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC, July 1994.
- 4. <u>MORT User's Manual</u>, DOE-76-45/4 SSDC-4 Rev. 3, System Safety Development Center, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, EG&G Idaho Falls Inc., Idaho Falls, Idaho, February, 1992.
- 5. G. W. Johnson, Pantex Specific Application Guide for DOE-STD-3009-94, AAO-G-3009, Rev. 0, December 1996.
- 6. <u>Hazard Analysis Methodology</u> (U), WSRC-IM-97-7, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, S.C., May 1997.
- <u>Nonreactor Nuclear Facilities: Standards and Criteria Guide</u>, DOE/TIC-11603, Rev. 1, C. H. Blanton and S. A. Eide, Science Applications International Corp., LaJolla, CA, September 1986.
- Environmentally Controlled Storage and Operating Warehouse Facility, Building 12-66, Final Safety Analysis Report, January, 1996, Pantex Plant, Mason & Hanger - Silas Mason Co., Inc.
- 9. <u>Procedures for Stage Right Using the Automated Guided Vehicle (U)</u>, P7-0872.2, Issue B, May 8, 1996, Pantex Plant, Mason & Hanger - Silas Mason Co., Inc., Amarillo, Texas.
- 10. <u>Stage Right Emergency Procedures (U)</u>, 7-0872.1, Issue F, April 1, 1996, Pantex Plant, Mason & Hanger Silas Mason Co, Inc., Amarillo, Texas.
- Environmentally Controlled Storage and Operating Warehouse Facility, Building 12-66, Fire Hazards Analysis, Rev. 1, September 22,1995, Pantex Plant, Mason & Hanger - Silas Mason Co., Inc., Amarillo, Texas.
- 12. <u>Rocky Flats Container Model AL-R8 Safety Analysis for Packaging (Secret)</u>, RFE 8801, April 1988, Revised September 1990.
- 13. Kalinich, D. A., <u>Development of the Technical Basis for Damage Ratios</u>, <u>Airborne Release</u> <u>Fractions</u>, <u>Airborne Release Rates</u>, <u>and Respirable Fractions Applicable for Use in the</u> <u>Pantex Surplus Pit Storage Facility Hazards Analysis</u>, M-CLC-G-00261, Rev. 0, June 1997, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, S.C.

19

(DOTION)

LASSIFIED WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

- 14. East, J. M., Pantex Pu-239 Fire Unit Dose Analysis (U), S-CLC-W-00002, Rev. 0, June 1997, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, S.C.
- Natural Phenomena Hazards Performance Categorization for Structures, Systems and Components, DOE-STD-1021-93, July 1993, Change Notice #1, United States Department of Energy, Washington, D.C., January 1996.

- 16. <u>Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Continued Operation of the Pantex Plant and</u> <u>Associated Storage of Nuclear Weapon Components</u>, DOE/EIS-0225, November, 1996.
- <u>Natural Phenomena Hazards Design and Evaluation Criteria for DOE Facilities</u>, DOE-STD-1020-94, April 1994, Change Notice #1, United States Department of Energy, Washington, D.C., January 1996.
- 18. <u>Pantex Plant Safety Analysis Report, General Information Document</u>, Rev. 0, June 7, 1995, Mason & Hanger - Silas Mason Co., Inc.
- Airborne Release Fractions/Rates and Respirable Fractions for Nonreactor Nuclear Facilities, DOE-HDBK-3010-94, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC, October 1994

#### General Information References

- Patel, S. M., <u>Hazards Assessment Document for the Surplus Pit Storage Facility Upgrade</u> (U), S-HAD-G-00005, Rev. 0, May, 1997, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, S.C.
- Hazard Categorization and Accident Analysis Techniques for Compliance with DOE Order 5480.23, Nuclear Safety Analysis Reports, DOE-STD-1027-92, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC, December 1992.
- 3. <u>Bldg. 12-116 Chapters 1, 3, 4, & 5, Appendices A, B, & C to the Draft FSAR</u>, May 1997, Pantex Plant, Mason & Hanger - Silas Mason Co., Inc.
- 4. East, J. M., <u>Surplus Pit Storage Facility Unit Dose Analysis (U)</u>, S-COC-W-00001, Rev. 0, May 1997, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, S.C.
- Memorandum, G. W. Wellman to B. C. Caskey, <u>Analysis of a 30 Foot Drop Center-of</u> <u>Gravity-Over-the-Corner Drop of a Loaded Stage Right 4-Pack Inventory Pallet</u>, July 23, 1992.
- 6. <u>Functional Classification Methodology Manual (U)</u>, WSRC-TM-93-9, Rev. 3, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC, March 1995.
- 7. <u>Basis for Interim Operation for the Pantex Plant</u>, MNL-00076, Rev. 3, November 1993 D

20



- 8. <u>Pantex Plant Safety Analysis Report, Zone 4</u>, Draft B, August 1995, Pantex Plant, Mason & Hanger Silas Mason Co., Inc.
- 9. <u>Nuclear Safety Analysis Reports</u>, DOE Order 5480.23, U. S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C., April 1992.
- 10. Diniz, J., <u>Hazard Evaluation of the Incorporation of the Automated Guided Vehicle into the Stage Right Process</u>, Rev. 0, February 14, 1996.
- 11. Boughton, B. A., et. al., <u>Characterization of Plutonium Aerosols for Various Accident</u> Scenarios By an Expert Panel, LA-CP-95-55, March 29, 1995.
- 12. Pierce, K. T., and Luehring, L. F., <u>Nuclear Explosive Abnormal Environments at the</u> <u>Pantex Plant</u>, September 1995.
- 13. Rountree, Suzanne L. K., et. al., <u>Stage Right Operational Safety Analysis and Evaluation of</u> <u>Pantex Personnel Operations</u>,
- 14. <u>Pantex Plant Emergency Preparedness Procedures (EPP)</u>, Emergency Management Plan, EPP-1000, October 1995.

21



EWSRC-TR-97-0180



### **APPENDIX A - METHODOLOGY TABLES**





WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

## Table A-1. Event Categories & Relationship to Hazardous Material and Energy Sources

Event Category	Event Category Description	Hazard Energy and Material Groups
E-1	Fire	Electrical Thermal Friction Pyrophoric material Spontaneous combustion Open flame Flammables Combustibles Chemical Reactions
E-2	Explosion	Potential (pressure) Explosive materials Chemical Reactions
E-3	Loss of Containment or Confinement	Radiological Material Hazardous Material
E-4	Direct Radiological/Chemical Exposure	Ionizing radiation sources Non-ionizing radiation sources
E-5	Nuclear Criticality	Fissile Material
E-6	External Hazards	Non-facility Events Vehicles in Motion Crane Kinetic
E-7	Natural Phenomena	Natural Phenomena

CLASSIFIER

# 24 24 24 24



#### Table A-2 Hazard Energy Source Legend

Group	Hazard Energy Source	Abbreviation
Electrical	Battery banks	BB
	Cable runs	CB
	Diesel units	DU
	Electrical equipment	EE
	Hot plates	HP
	Heaters	HT
	High voltage	HV
	Locomotive, Electrical	LE
	Motors	MT
	Pumps	PM
	Power tools	PT
	Switchgear	SG
	Service outlets, fittings	so
	Transformers	TF
	Transmission lines	TL
	Underground wiring	UW
	Wiring	WR
Thermal	Bunsen burner/ Hot plates	BR
	Electrical equipment	EE
	Furnaces	FR
	Heaters	HT
	Steam lines	SL
	Welding torch	WT
Friction	Belts	BL
	Bearings	BR
	Fans	FN
	Gears	GE
	Motors	MT
	Power tools	PT
Pyrophoric Material	Pu and U metal	PU
Spontaneous Combustion	Nitric acid and organics	HN
Open Flame	Bunsen burners	BR
Flammables	Flammable gases	FG
	Flammable liquids	FL
Combustibles	Combustible materials	CB
Chemical Reactions	Uncontrolled chemical reactions	СН
Detential (measure)	Cas bettles	GP
rotential (pressure)	Gas receivers	GB
	Das receivers	DV
	Pressure vessels	PV CT
	Steam headers and lines	51



25

CIT DI



#### Table A-2 (cont.) - Hazard Energy Source Legend

Group	Hazard Energy Source	Abbreviation
Explosive Material	Explosive gases	EG
•	Explosive chemicals	EC
	Hydrogen	HZ
	Propane	PP
Radiological Material	Radiological Material	RM
Hazardous Material	Alkali Metals	AM
	Asphyxiants	AS
	Biologicals	BI
	Carcinogens	CA
	Corrosives	CR
	Oxidizers	OX
	Toxics	TX
Ionizing Radiation Sources	Fissile material	FM
	Radiography equipment	RE
	Radioactive material	RM
	Radioactive sources	RS
Fissile Material	Fissile Material	FM
Non-facility Events	Explosion	EX
	Fire	FI
	Other	OT
Vehicles in Motion	Airplane	AP
	Helicopter	HL
	Forklift	FL
	Truck/Car	TR
Crane	Crane	CR
Natural Phenomena	Straight wind	SW
	Tornado	TO
	Earthquake	EQ
	Flood	FD
	Lightning	LT
	Rain	RN
	Snow, freezing weather	SN



### Table A-3 Event Frequency Evaluation Levels

Event Frequency Code	Description	Estimated Annual Frequency of Occurrence (year <sup>-1</sup> )
Anticipated (A)	Accidents that may occur several times during the life cycle of the facility (accidents that commonly occur).	f >10 <sup>-2</sup>
Unlikely (U)	Accidents that are not anticipated to occur during the life cycle of the facility. Natural phenomena of this probability class include the following: Uniform Building Code-level earthquake, 100-year flood, maximum wind gust, etc.	$10^{-2} \ge f > 10^{-4}$
Extremely Unlikely (EU)	Accidents that will probably not occur during the life cycle of the facility. This class includes the design basis accidents.	$10^{-4} \ge f \ge 10^{-6}$
Beyond Extremely Unlikely (BEU)	All other accidents.	f < 10 <sup>-6</sup>

27

N.C.

CONTRACTO

# UNCLASSIFIED

TIO



## Table A-4 Evaluation Guidelines

Consequence Level ↓	Criteria for Worker	Criteria for Facility	Criteria for Off-Site		
High (H)	<u>Radiological</u> : > 100 rem; <u>Anv Event</u> : Loss of Life or Severe Injury	Complete Loss of Facility	>25 rem CEDE at Extr. Unlikely >5rem CEDE at Unlikely > 0.5 CEDE at Anticipated Major Off-Site Contamination		
Moderate (M)	<u>Radiological</u> : 25 < C ≤ 100 rem; <u>Any Event</u> : Lost Time Accident but no Disability	Major Contamination or Damage	>12.5 rem CEDE at Extr. Unlikely >2.5 rem CEDE at Unlikely >0.25 rem CEDE at Anticipated Moderate Off-Site Contamination		
Low (L)	<u>Radiological</u> : 5 < C ≤ 25 rem <u>Any Event</u> : Minor First Aid	Minor Contamination or Damage	>6.2 rem CEDE at Extr. Unlikely >1.2 rem CEDE at Unlikely >0.12 rem CEDE at Anticipated Low Off-Site Contamination		
Negligible (N)	<u>Radiological</u> : ≤ 5 rem <u>Any Event</u> : No Impact	No Damage	No Off-Site Contamination or Exposure		

# UNCLASSIFIED 28

NITOT MAILON


### Table A-5 Risk Ranking Matrix - MEOW and Facility

Frequency $\rightarrow$ Consequence $\downarrow$	Beyond Extremely Unlikely < 10 <sup>-6</sup> /yr	Extremely Unlikely 10 <sup>-6</sup> ≤ f ≤ 10 <sup>-4</sup> /yr	Unlikely 10 <sup>-4</sup> < f ≤ 10 <sup>-2</sup> /yr	Anticipated > 10 <sup>-2</sup> /yr
High <u>Worker Radiological</u> : > 100 rem; <u>Any Event</u> : Loss of Life or Severe Injury <u>Facility</u> : Complete Loss of Facility	10 <b>]</b>	7	4	1
Moderate <u>Worker Radiological</u> : 25 < C ≤ 100 rem; <u>Any Event</u> : Lost Time Accident but no Disability <u>Facility</u> : Major Contamination or Damage			5	2_
Low <u>Worker Radiological</u> : 5 < C ≤ 25 rem <u>Any Event</u> : Minor First Aid <u>Facility</u> : Minor Contamination or Damage				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Negligible (Any Hazard < low) Worker Radiological: ≤ 5 rem Any Event: No Impact Facility: No Damage				

#### Key:

No Analysis	
Determine Safety Significant	
Determine Important to Safety	

UNCLASSIFIED

# MUNCLASSIFIE RC-TR-97-0180

DRAFT A



				and the second se
Frequency $\rightarrow$ Consequence	Beyond Extremely Unlikely < 10 <sup>°</sup> /yr	Extremely Unlikely 10 <sup>^</sup> ≤ f ≤ 10 <sup>-4</sup> /yr	<b>Unlikely</b> 10 <sup>4</sup> < f ≤ 10 <sup>-2</sup> /yr	Anticipated > 10 <sup>-2</sup> /yr
High Major Off-Site Contamination	10	7 >25 Rem	4 >5 Rem	1 >0.5 Rem
Moderate Moderate Off-Site Contamination		e e	5 >2.5 Rem	2 >0.25 Rem
Low Low Off-Site Contamination	ių.	ana Bino		3 >0.12 Rem
Negligible No Off-Site Exposure or Contamination				

Key:

No Analysis	
Analysis	



Table A-7 Hazard Evaluation Table, Unmitigated Consequences (Example)

					Unmitigat	led	
Event No.	Event	Postulated Event Description	Causes	Initiator Freq. Level	Impact on Other Systems	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Risk Bin Number
I-Hd	Е́Г	"Description"				Worker:	
						Facility:	•
		Location.				Offsite:	
		Hazard Source:					
PH-2	E-3	"Description"				Worker:	
		and the second				Facility:	
		Locaton.				Offsite	
		Hazard Source:					

J.ADDI

UNCLASSIFIED

31

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

CLASSIFIED

Table A-8 Hazard Evaluation Table, Mitigated Consequences (Example)

				Preven	tion Features		Mitigat	ion Features	Mitig	ated
Event No.	Event Cate- gory	Postulated Event Description	Causes	Design	Administrative	Freq. Level	Design	Administrative	Impact on Other Systems	Health and Safety Consequence Level
VI-Hd	B-1	"Description"								
		Location:								
		Hazard Source:								
PH-2A	E-3	"Description"								
		Location:							-	
		Hazard Source:								

CLASSIFIED

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

UNCLASSIFIED

32

51111

UNCLASSIFIED



#### **APPENDIX B - HAZARD IDENTIFICATION TABLES**



UNCLASSIFIED CONTR DICO



TABLE B-1 Summary of Hazard Energy Sources, Materials, and Their Locations for the Surplus Pit Storage Facility (page 1 of 4)

Iocation Term for the form that the form of the form		_								Haz	ard E	nerg	/ Sou	rces	and N	Aater	ials							
Location         BB         CB         DU         EE         HP         HT         HV         LE         MT         PM         PT         SG         NT         UW         WR         BR         EE         FR         HT         SL           Pit Storage Room         X <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>E</th> <th>lectri</th> <th>cal</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>ŀ</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>L</th> <th></th> <th>The</th> <th>Irmal</th> <th></th> <th></th>									E	lectri	cal				ŀ				L		The	Irmal		
Pit Storage Room       X	Location	BB	CB	DU	EE	НР	HT	NH	LE	MT	Md	PT	SG	so	Ŧ	Ę	N	WR	BR	EE	F	HT	SL	TW
Loading Dock/Ramp <sup>1</sup> $X^2$ $X$ $X$ $X^4$ $X$ <th< td=""><td>Pit Storage Room</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td></td><td>X<sup>4</sup></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>XIO</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>×</td><td>T</td><td></td><td></td><td>XIS</td><td></td><td>×</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Pit Storage Room	×	×		X <sup>4</sup>					XIO				×	T			XIS		×				
Control Room         X         X <sup>6</sup> X         X <sup>12</sup> X         X	Loading Dock/Ramp <sup>1</sup>	X <sup>2</sup>	×		X <sup>5</sup>		X <sup>8</sup>			ТХ		XIA	×	×				×		×		×		
Interlock         X	Control Room		×		X									×				×		×				
Equipment Room X <sup>3</sup> X X X X <sup>3</sup> X X <sup>3</sup> X X <sup>3</sup> X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Interlock	×	×		X		X <sup>9</sup>			XIX				×				×		×		×		
	Equipment Room	X	×		X		X <sup>8</sup>			×	X <sup>IJ</sup>		×	X	×			×	-	×		X <sup>8</sup>	X	

X = Hazards considered applicable

a e.g., breakers

1 Pallet Turner to be located on the Loading Dock

2 Battery charger; batteries on forklift

3 Batteries for fire detection system

4 CCTV Cameras

5 Pallet turner

6 Computer equipment

7 Security equipment

8 Electric unit heaters; radiator heaters w/fans (Loading Dock)

9 Electric heater with fan

) 10 Drive motors for doors; portable CAM units

11Dock levelers

12 Door motors; motor on heater fan

13 Pumps for condensate return, chilled water, sump transfers

414 Potential for use of power tools such as impact wrench (e.g.,

Tor re-palletizing containers)

S Temperature monitoring, PA system

IED

WSRC-TR-97-0180

DRAFT A

NCLASSIFIED

TABLE B-1 (cont.) Summary of Hazard Energy Sources, Materials, and Their Locations for the Surplus Pit Storage Facility (page 2 of 4)

								Hazard Energy Sour	rces and Mat	erials			
			Fi	ction			Pyrophoric Materials <sup>*</sup>	Spontaneous Combustion <sup>b</sup>	Open Flames	Flam	mables	Combustibles	Chemical Reactions'
Location	BL	BR	R	GE	MT	PT	PU	NH	BR	RG	E	CB	CH
Pit Storage Room				×	×		2			×3	X		
Loading Dock/Ramp	×		×	×	×	×	2			rx.	X	x	
Control Room												x	
Interlock			×	×	×		2			KX.	X4		
Equipment Room	×	×	×		X					X3	Xe	x	

ON MAN

X = Hazards considered applicable

35

- a Plutonium or Uranium metal
  - b e.g., nitric acid and organics
- c With potential for uncontrolled reaction
- 1 Pallet Turner to be located on the Loading Dock
- 2 No material will be exposed or outside storage containers.
- material is assumed to undergo oxidation before ignition

would occur.

3 Hydrogen generated by batteries

4 Hydraulic fluid; door gear lubricant

To Includes paints, solvents, adhesives, oils, and various other chemicals and flammable liquids delivered to dock for support of other facilities. This material is not part of the Surplus Pit Storage Facility's inventory. The material is transient only and is considered only as an initiator for events which could finpact the Storage Facility or its radiological inventory. Bearing lubricant; oil reservoir on air compressor

E

H

ASSIFIED DRAFT A

TABLE B-1 (cont.) Summary of Hazard Energy Sources, Materials, and Their Locations for the Surplus Pit Storage Facility (page 3 of 4)

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$										Ha	zard Energy	Source	es and	Mate	rials						ŀ		_
LocationGBGRPVSTEGECHZPPRMAMASBICACIPit Storage Room $                                    $			P P	otent	tial tre)		Ex	plosiv	res	Rac	tiological faterial		T	lazard	M sno	lateria	-		loniz	ing R.	adiati	uo	
Pit Storage Room       N       X	Location	0	BG	R P	V S	H	GE	CH	Id Z	0	RM	AM	AS	BI	CA	CR	XO	TX	FM	RE	RM	RS	-
Loading Dock/Ramp <sup>1</sup> X         X <sup>2</sup> <td>Pit Storage Room</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>X3</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	Pit Storage Room		-	-	-	-	-	×			x							X3	×		×		-
Control Room <th< th=""></th<>	Loading Dock/Ramp <sup>1</sup>		-	-	-		-	X E			x		X <sup>2</sup>		X <sup>2</sup>	X <sup>2</sup>	X2	X <sup>2,3</sup>	×		×		-
Interlock         X	Control Room		-	-	-		-	-	-														-
Equipment Room X X X X	Interlock		-	-	-	-	-	~			×							K <sup>3</sup>	×		×		-
	Equipment Room		-	×		×		~															
			-	-	-	-		-	_														-
			-	-	-		-	-															-

X = Hazards considered applicable

36

I Pallet Turner to be located on the Loading Dock

2 Includes paints, solvents, adhesives, oils, and various other chemicals and flammable liquids delivered to dock for support of other facilities. This material is not part of the Surplus Pit Storage Facility's inventory. The material is transient only and is considered only as an initiator for events which could impact the Storage Facility or its radiological inventory.

1º

551

Radiological material inside storage containers.

ASSIFIED

FTED<sub>SRC-TR-97-0180</sub> DRAFT A TABLE B-1 (cont.) Summary of Hazard Energy Sources, Materials, and Their Locations for the Surplus Pit Storage Facility (page 4 of 4)

						Haza	ard En	lergy	Sources	and M	ateria	Is				
	Fissile Material <sup>a</sup>	Nor	I-Faci	ity	Vehi	cles in	n Mot	ion	Crane	_	ž	atural	Pheno	mena	7	- 1
ocation	FM	EX	FI	OT	AP	HL	F	Ĕ	CR	SW	TO	EQ	FD	LT	RN	S
Pit Storage Room	x	×	×	-	×	×	×			×	x	X		Х	X	×
Loading Dock/Ramp <sup>1</sup>	x	x	×		×	x	×	X4		×	X	X		X	X	×
Control Room		X	x		×	×	K <sup>3</sup>			×	x	X		X	X	×
Interlock	x	X	×		×	×	×			×	×	×		×	X	×
Equipment Room		X	X	X <sup>2</sup>	X	X				×	×	×		×	×	×
										1						

UNICI ADD

X = Hazards considered applicable

37

- a Criticality concern
- b External to Facility
  - c Internal to Facility

d Indicates NPH incident upon Building. Accident scenarios associated with NPH are listed in Hazard Evaluation Table ft Pallet Turner to be located on the Loading Dock

2 Potential for crane to service second floor of Equipment Room

Frequent movement of forklift on Loading Dock Ammediately adjacent to the Control Room

4 Delivery trucks external to loading dock

Not a viable phenomenon because of Plant location

TION

LASSIFIED

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

ED

SIF



#### **APPENDIX C - HAZARD EVALUATION TABLES**





AND WSRC-UNCLASSIFIED WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

Table C-1 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Pit Storage Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 1 of 6)

led Eve	ut	Causes	nitiator Freq.	Impact on Other	Health and Safety	Risk Bin Number
ription	-		Level	Systems	Consequence Level	
ical release container		lydraulic fluid n AGV or orklift; ignition	A 1	Shutdown of the affected area.	Worker: MODERATE Facility: MODERATE	2 2
noom .	(0 () = ()	ource on AUV; lectrical short; nknown ignition			Offsite: HIGH	-
ge Room ources: ive	,				The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for a small fire event	1
nic N	17	A	NA	NA	Worker: NA	NA
					Facility: NA	NA
se Room					Offsite: NA	VN
ource: ive						
gical Hy	Ty I	drogen erated hv	A	Shutdown of the affected area: notential	Worker: MODERATE	2
r due to an ba	100	tteries in AGV,	1	for release of	Facility: MODERATE	2
		nition source on		the environment.	Offsite: HIGH	1
ge Room sh		ort; unknown				
source:	20	ntion source.			I he consequences of this event are bounded and	
live					represented by the consequences calculated for a small fire event	

39

Table C-1 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Pit Storage Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 2 of 6)

Event No.	Event	Postulated Event	Causes	Initiator Freq.	Unmitigate Impact on Other	d Health and Safety	Risk Bin Number
	Category	Description		Level	Systems	Consequence Level	
PS-4	E-3	Radiological release from pit	Worker error; failure of	A	Temporary shutdown of the affected area and the	Worker: HIGH	1
		container due to damage resulting	computer control for AGV;	-	pit storage operation; potential for release of	Facility: MODERATE	2
		from mishandling of pit container	containers are dropped, pushed		radiological material to the environment;	Offsite: HIGH	± .
		Location: Pit Storage Room	otherwise damaged with forklift time.		equipment in pit storage room.	The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the	* Requires Safety Class
		Hazard Source: Radioactive Material				consequences calculated for an impact event.	
PS-5	E-3	Radiological	Aging or	D	Potential for temporary	Worker: HIGH	4
		container due to	structures in	1	area and the pit storage	Facility: MODERATE	S
		damage caused by	ceiling (e.g., light		operation; potential for	Offeite- HIGH	4*
		ceiling	piping, pipe hangers).		spread to other areas including the		
		Location: Dir Storage Room			environment.	The consequences of this event are bounded and	* Requires Safety Class
		in storage woon				represented by the	
		Hazard Source: Radioactive Material				consequences calculated for an impact event.	
PS-6	E-3	Radiological	Age of containers	V	Temporary shutdown of	Worker: HIGH	1
		release due to leaking container.	and pits; corrosion of pit	-	for transferable	Facility: MODERATE	2
		Location:	shell; loss of cooling to		contamination to be spread to other areas.	Offsite: HIGH	1
		Pit Storage Room	storage room (HVAC failure);				
	_	Hazard Source: Radioactive	container overheating			The consequences of this event are bounded and	
		Material	results in pit cracking.			represented by the consequences calculated	
						IUI AII UAIUAUUII EVEIII.	

40

A COMMON

UNCI ASSIETE

and sha

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

UNCLASSIFIED

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

## MAMMA UNCLASSIFIED

Table C-1 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Pit Storage Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 3 of 6)

Risk Bin Number 4 5 4* * Requires Safety Class	- 5 5	4 5 4* • Requires Safety Class
Health and Safety Consequence Level Worker: HIGH Facility: MODERATE Offsite: HIGH	Worker: MODERATE Facility: MODERATE Offsite: HIGH The consequences of this event are bounded and trepresented by the consequences calculated for a small fire event.	Worker: HIGH Facility: MODERATE Offsite: HIGH The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for an impact event.
Impact on Other Systems Major disruption of SPSF functions; major effort required for recovery; potential for high radiation exposure doses to workers in adjacent buildings (12- 79 and 12-82)	Temporary shutdown of the affected area; potential for release of radiological material to the environment; contamination of pit storage room and associated equipment	Temporary shutdown of the storage operation; potential for release of radiological material to the environment; contamination of pit storage room.
Initiator Freq. Level 1	< -	⊃ -·
Causes Earthquake, AGV collision, pallet failure causes pallets to collapse or tip over resulting in unfavorable configuration of configuration of floor; worker error.	External fire (e.g., grass fire, truck at loading dock catches fire, electrical short or unknown ignition source).	External explosion; explosive material being handled in nearby buildings is detonated and projects objects in the direction of 12-66.
Postulated Event Description Criticality Location: Pit Storage Room Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	Damage to containers from external event resulting in release of radiological material Location: Pit Storage Room Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	Damage to containers from external event resulting in release of radiological material Location: Pit Storage Room Hazard Source: Radioactive Material
Event Category E-5	9- E	9-E
Event No. PS-7	PS-8	PS-9

Table C-1 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Pit Storage Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 4 of 6)

	Event	Postulated Event	Causes	Initiator Freq.	Impact on Other Systems	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Risk Bin Number
PS-10	E-6	Damage to	External	n	Temporary shutdown of	Worker: HIGH	4
		containers from external event	explosion; explosive	-	the storage operation; potential for release of	Facility: MODERATE	S
		resulting in release	material being		radiological material to	Diffi	
		of radiological material	truck is detonated		contamination of pit		4
		l ocation.	as truck passes in vicinity of SPSF:		storage room.	The consequences of this	<ul> <li>Requires Safety Class</li> </ul>
		Pit Storage Room	objects are			event are bounded and	
		Hazard Source:	direction of 12-			consequences calculated	
		Radioactive Material	66.			for an impact event.	
PS-11	E-6	Injury to personnel	External	n	Temporary shutdown of	Worker: HIGH	4
		or facility damage due to external	explosion.	-	une arrected area.	Facility: HIGH	4
		event				Offician NA	N N
		l ocation:				OUNIE IN	VVI
		Pit Storage Room					
		Hazard Source:					
		Explosives in external facilities					
PS-12	E-6	Damage to	Surface vehicle	EU	Temporary shutdown of	Worker: HIGH	2
		containers from	impact	-	the affected area; notential for release of	Facility: HIGH	2
		resulting in release			radiological material to		
		of radiological material			the environment.	Offsite: HIGH	<b>*</b> L
		Location:				The consequences of this	* Requires Safety Class
-		Pit Storage Koom			-1	event are bounded and represented by the	
		Hazard Source: Radioactive				consequences calculated for an impact event.	
T		Material					

MAN F.t. SSIFIED DRAFT A

42

TION

Table C-1 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Pit Storage Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 5 of 6)

ent No. Event Postulated Event Causes Initiator Freq PS-13 E-6 Injury to personnel Surface Vehicle A or facility damage impact (e. g., Level due to external truck at dock, I event crane) better bit Storage Room Hazard Source: Vehicles external truck at dock, I event crane) better PS-14 E-6 Damage to Aircraft Impact EU of radiological material external event resulting in release of radiological material Destrice Room Hazard Source: PS-15 E-6 Iniuvy to personnel Aircraft impact EU	req. Impact on Other Systems Temporary shutdown of the affected area.	Health and Safety Consequence Level Worker: HIGH	Risk Bin Number
PS-13     E-6     Injury to personnel Surface Vehicle     A       or facility damage impact (e.g., due to external event     or facility damage impact (e.g., due to external event     1       event     crane)     crane)     1       PS-14     E-6     Damage storm to facility to facility     Aircraft Impact     EU       PS-14     E-6     Damage storm to facility     Aircraft Impact     EU       PS-14     E-6     Damage room to facility     Aircraft Impact     EU       PS-14     E-6     Damage room to facility     Aircraft Impact     EU       PS-14     E-6     Damage room to facility     Aircraft Impact     EU       PS-15     E-6     Iniury to personnel Aircraft impact     BU	Temporary shutdown of the affected area.	Worker: HIGH	
PS.14 E-6 Damage to Aircraft Impact EU containers from caternal event resulting in release of radiological material Location: Pit Storage Room Hazard Source: Radioactive Material PS.15 E-6 Iniuvr to personnel Aircraft impact EU		Facility: MODERATE Offsite: NA	A N A
PS-15 E-6 Injury to personnel Aircraft impact EU	Major damage to Pit Storage Room, its contents, and facilities in surrounding areas; major disruption of SPSF functions; event would likely involve a major portion of the pit containers in the storage room; radioactive releases from the containers involved would likely be high.	Worker: HIGH Facility: HIGH Offsite: HIGH The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for an aircraft impact event.	7 7 7* * Requires Safety Class
or facility damage due to external event Location: Pit Storage Room Hazard Source: Vehicles external	Major damage to Pit Storage Room, its contents, and facilities in surrounding areas; major disruption of SPSF functions.	Worker: HIGH Facility: HIGH Offsite: NA	r r N

NON MARCA

CLADO

UI

ATION

DECLASSIFIED SRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A Table C-1 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Pit Storage Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 6 of 6)

	Health and Safety Risk Bin Number Consequence Level	ker. HIGH 4 lity: HIGH 4	4.	are: FILOLT consequences of this * Requires Safety Class at are bounded and esented by the equences calculated by impact event.	are: FILUTI consequences of this * Requires Safety Class at are bounded and esented by the equences calculated in impact event. ker: MODERATE	ite: FILUTI consequences of this * Requires Safety Class at are bounded and esented by the equences calculated in impact event. ker: MODERATE fily: MODERATE 5	the: FIICH 4* consequences of this * Requires Safety Class at are bounded and sected by the sected by the sected and sected and sected by the	tite: FILOH consequences of this * Requires Safety Class at are bounded and sequences of this * Requires Safety Class tare bounded and the sequences of this 5 tite: HIGH 4 to rare bounded and and 4 to rare bounded and	tre: FILOLT consequences of this * Requires Safety Class tt are bounded and esented by the equences calculated in impact event. ker: MODERATE 5 lity: MODERATE 5 ite: HIGH 4 ite: HIGH 4 ite: HIGH 4 ite: HIGH 5 ite: HIGH 5 ite: HIGH 5 ite: HIGH 6 ite: HIGH 7 ite: HIGH 7 i	tre: FILOH consequences of this * Requires Safety Class tt are bounded and esented by the equences calculated in impact event. ker: MODERATE 5 fity: MODERATE 5 ite: HIGH 4 tie: HIGH 4 t are bounded and esented by the equences calculated ismall fire event. ft are bounded and sented by the equences calculated ismall fire event.	tree: FILOH are: FILOH esented by the esented by the equences calculated in impact event. ter: MODERATE fily: MODERATE in: HIGH it are bounded and consequences of this tare bounded and equences calculated ismall fire event. ter: HIGH it are bounded and tare bounded and tare bounded and tare bounded and tare bounded and tare bounded and ismall fire event. ter: HIGH ismall fire event. ter: HIGH ter: HIGH	tare: FIIOH 4* consequences of this * Requires Safety Class tare bounded and esented by the equences calculated in impact event. 5 fity: MODERATE 5 ite: HIGH 4 ite: HIGH 4 tare bounded and esented by the consequences of this tare bounded and esented by the equences calculated itmuthing 1 ker: HIGH 1 ker H
Unmitigated	m Other Health a	utdown of Worker. HK ceration; elease of Facility: HK naterial to offsite: HIG		The consequence event are bo represented consequence for an impose	The conseque event are bo represented consequence for an impac famage to Worker: MC	The consequevent are bo event are bo represented consequence for an impac for an impac famage to Worker: MC ipment. Facility: MC	The consequence event are bo represented consequence for an impac for	The consequevent are bo event are bo represented consequence for an impac for an im	The consequence event are bo represented consequence for an impac for an impac for a rmall f consequence for a small f	The consequence event are borevent are borevent are borevented consequence for an impact for an impact pament. Facility: MC Offsite: HIG Offsite: HIG Consequence for a small f for a small f	The consequence event are boorepresented consequence for an impact for an impact for a small f for a small f Facility: MC Worker: HIC Facility: MC	The consequence event are bo represented consequence for an impac for an impac for an impac for a small f Facility: MC Consequence for a small f Facility: MC Consequence for a small f Facility: MC
	q. Impact on Systen	Temporary shu the storage opy potential for re radiological m the environme			Potential for d	Potential for d	Potential for de electrical equit	Potential for da electrical equit	Potential for da	Potential for de electrical equit None	Potential for de electrical equit None	Potential for da electrical equit
	Initiator Freq Level	U 3.4			D	S U	s C	D v	S C	A v C	s A s	N N N
	Causes	Earthquake, tornado, high velocity straight winds			Lightning	Lightning	Lightning	Lightning	Lightning	Lightning Hcavy rain with	Lightning Heavy rain with accompanying teaks into	Lightning Heavy rain with accompanying leaks into building.
	Postulated Event Description	Release of radiological material due to NPH event Location: Pit Storage Room	Hazard Source:	Radioactive Material	Radioactive Material Damage to pit containers	Radioactive Material Damage to pit containers resulting in release	Radioactive Material Damage to pit containers resulting in release of radiological material due to NPH	Radioactive Material Damage to pit containers of radiological material due to NPH Location: Pit Storage Room	Radioactive Material Damage to pit containers resulting in release of radiological material due to NPH Location: Pit Storage Room Pit Storage Room	Radioactive Material Damage to pit containers resulting in release of radiological material due to NPH Location: Pit Storage Room Pit Storage Room Aderial Radiological	Radioactive Material Damage to pit containers resulting in release of radiological material due to NPH Location: Pit Storage Room Pit Storage Room Aaterial Radiological Bit et ase Material	Radioactive Material Damage to pit containers resulting in release of radiological material due to of radiological fit Storage Room Pit Storage Room Pit Storage Room Material the to NPH blue to NPH the storage Room Material
	Event Category	B-7			E-7	E-7	E-7	8-7	E-7	E-7 E-7	E-7 E-7	E-7 E-7
	Event No.	PS-16			PS-17	PS-17	61-24	F3-17	PS-17	PS-17	PS-17	PS-17

1 star

NCLASSIFIED DRAFT A

Table C-2. Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Loading Dock/Ramp, Unmitigated Consequences (page 1 of 7)

ivent No.	Event	Postulated Event	Causes	Initiator Fred	Imnact on Other	Health and Cafety	Diet Die Mumber
	Category	Decrimin		Put I manual	Contract on Curve		VISK DIIL MUNICIPALITY
	Laugury	Incontineer		ICACI	oysicins	Consequence Level	
1-0-1		Radiological material release	Hydraulic fluid on AGV or	*	Shutdown of the affected area: potential	Worker: MODERATE	2
		from pit containers	forklift leaks;	1	for release of	Facility: MODERATE	2
		due to fire on	electrical short;		radiological material to		
		Loading oock	unknown ignition		the environment.	Urisite: HIUH	-
		Location:	charging station:				
		Loading	worker error;			The consequences of this	
		Dock/Ramp	combustibles on			event are bounded and	
			dock.			represented by the	
		Hazard Source:				consequences calculated	
		Radioactive				for a large fire event.	
LD-2	E-I	Pyrophoric	NA	NA	NA	Worker: NA	NA NA
		matenal				Easiling NA	
		Location:				raciiity. INA	
		Loading Dock				Offsite: NA	
-							
		Hazard Source:					
		Radioactive Material					
LD-3	E-2	Radiological	Hydrogen	V	Potential for significant	Worker: MODERATE	2
		release from pit	generated by		damage to equipment on		
		container due to an	batteries in AGV.	-	loading dock; shutdown	Facility: MODERATE	2
		explosion on	shielded forklift,		of the affected area;		
		noauing uocortainp	or at patiery		potential for release of	UIISIIC: HIGH	-
		I acation	charging station;		radiological material to		
		Location.	ciccuical siloit,			E	
		Dock/Ramn	delivery truck			The consequences of unis	
		dumu mono	unknown ignition			renesented by the	
- 1		Hazard Source:	source			consequences calculated	
0		Radioactive				for a large fire event.	
T		Material					

MARCONT

UNULASSIFIED

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

UNCLASSIFIED CONTROLLED NUCLEAR DEORMATION

Table C-2 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Loading Dock/Ramp, Unmitigated Consequences (page 2 of 7)

Risk Bin Number	3	۳	3	S				6	9		0		2				11		13	2	!		2		
Health and Safety Consequence Level	Worker: LOW	Facility: LOW	Offsite: LOW	The consequences of this	event are bounded and represented by the	consequences calculated for an impact event.		Worker: LOW	Facility: LOW		OIISIIC: LOW	The concentration of shi	event are bounded and	represented by the	consequences calculated for an impact event.		Worker: NEGLIGIBLE		Facility: LOW	Officite: NEGI IGIRI F		The concentences of this	event are bounded and	represented by the	consequences calculated for an oxidation event.
Impact on Other Systems	Temporary shutdown of the affected area and the	pit receiving operation; potential for release of	radiological material to the environment;	contamination of dock and ramp.				Potential for temporary shutdown of affected	area and the pit	receiving operation;	contamination to be	spread to other areas	environment.				<b>Femporary</b> shutdown of	offected area; potential	or transferable	contamination to be inread to other areas					
Initiator Freq. Level	V	-						n	-								V		-						
Causes	Worker error; failure of	computer control for AGV;	containers are dropped, pushed	over on dock, pushed from dock	to ground, pierced or	otherwise damaged with	forklift tine.	Aging or corroding	structures in	ceiling (e.g., light	piping, pipe	hangers).					Age of containers	and pits,	corrosion of pit	shell; container overheating	results in pit	containers	damaged during	transportation to	12-66.
Postulated Event Description	Radiological release from pit	container due to damage resulting	from mishandling of pit container.	Location:	Loading Dock/Ramp	Hazard Source:	Radioactive Material	Radiological release from pit	container due to	damage caused by	ceiling	Location.	Loading	Dock/Ramp	Hazard Source:	Radioactive ·	Personnel exposure	due to leaking	container	Location:	Loading Dock/Ramo	dumumon	Hazard Source:	Radioactive	Malerial
Event	E-3							E-3									E-4								
Event No.	P-4							1.0-5									9-071								

WSRC-TR-97-0180 UNCLASSIFIED DRAFT A

46 UNCL

Table C-2 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Loading Dock/Ramp, Unmitigated Consequences (page 3 of 7)

INCL

ACCU

	Risk Bin Number	YN	r r r
p	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Y	Worker: LOW Facility: LOW Offsite: LOW The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for an impact event.
Unmitigate	Impact on Other Systems	NA	Temporary shutdown of the affected area; potential for release of radiological material to the environment; contamination of dock and ramp.
	Initiator Freq. Level	Insufficient inventory to have criticality event	< -
	Causes	Forklift collides with several containers resulting in unfavorable configuration of pallets and containers; worker error; pallet failure; earthquake.	Worker error, mechanical failure of vehicle.
	Postulated Event Description	Criticality on dock. Location: Loading Dock/Ramp Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	Radioactive Material release due to damage to pit containers resulting from clectric vehicle collision with pallet on dock. Location: Location: Dock/Ramp Material Material
	Event Category	E.S	Б. Б.
	Event No.	Э	

47

ALOUND AND

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

1 Cal

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

48 NCLASSILED CONTROLLED INCLEAD INFORMATION

MARAM

Table C-2 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Loading Dock/Ramp, Unmitigated Consequences (page 4 of 7)

					Unmitigate	p	
40.	Event	Postulated Event Description	Causes	Initiator Freq. Level	Impact on Other Systems	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Risk Bin Number
	В6 П	Damage to containers on dock from external event resulting in release of radiological material Location: Location: Loading Dock/Ramp Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	External fire (e.g., grass fire, truck at loading dock catches fire, chemicals temporarily staged on dock are ignited by electrical short or unknown ignition source).	< -	Temporary shutdown of the affected area; potential for release of radiological material to the environment; contamination of dock and ramp.	Worker: MODERATE Facility: MODERATE Offsite: HIGH The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for a large fire event.	- 5 5
0	ዋ	Damage to containers on dock from external event resulting in release of radiological material Location: Location: Loading Dock/Ramp Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	External explosion (e.g., chemicals temporarily staged on dock react to heat, explosive material being handled in nearby buildings is detonated and projects objects in the direction of 12-66)	<b>&gt;</b> -	Temporary shutdown of the affected area; potential for release of radiological material to the environment; contamination of dock and ramp.	Worker: LOW Facility: LOW Offsite: LOW The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for an impact event.	v v v

UNCLASSIFIED

WSRĊ-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A Table C-2 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Loading Dock/Ramp, Unmitigated Consequences (page 5 of 7)

Unmitigated	nitiator Freq. Impact on Other Health and Safety Risk Bin Number Level Systems Consequence Level	U Temporary shutdown of Worker: LOW 6	the affected area; botential for release of Facility: LOW 6	radiological material to	the environment; Offisite: LOW 6	and ramp.	The consequences of this	represented by the	consequences calculated	for an impact event.		U Temporary shutdown of Worker: HIGH 4	the affected area.	I Facility: HIGH 4	Offsite: NA NA					A Temporary shutdown of Worker. LOW 3	the affected area;	I potential for release of Facility: LOW 3		radiological material to	radiological material to the environment; Offisite: LOW 3	radiological material to the environment; Offsite: LOW 3 contamination of dock	radiological material to the environment; Offsite: LOW 3 contamination of dock and ramp. The concentraces of this	adiological material to the environment; contamination of dock and famp. The consequences of this event are bounded and	radiological material to the environment; Offsite: LOW 3 contamination of dock and ramp. The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the	radiological material to the environment; Offsite: LOW 3 contamination of dock and ramp. The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated
	Causes	kternal	plosion; plosive	aterial being	ansported on	truck passes in	cinity of SPSF	ojects in the	rection of 12-			kternal	plosion.							urface Vehicle	npact (c. g.,	uck at dock,	ane)							
	Postulated Event Description	Damage to E	containers on dock evi- rom external eventex	esulting in release m	of radiological tr	material Location: Locating Dock/Ramp Hazard Source: Radioactive					Radioactive Material	njury to personnel E	or facility damage en	lue to external		ocation:	Dock/Ramp	 Hazard Source:	Explosives in external facilities	Damage to St	containers on dock in	rom external event tr	esulting in release ci		of radiological	of radiological naterial	of radiological naterial	of radiological naterial Location: Loading	rf radiological naterial 	if radiological naterial 
	Event	E-6 I		-						and L		E-6 I	0						- 0	·E-6		-	-		2	2 -				
	Event No.	11-071										LD-12								LD-13										

49

MAMMAN

UNCLASSIFIED

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

UNCLASSIFIED

ANDREAL

UNCLASSIFIED WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

Requires Safety Class **Risk Bin Number** AN NA 4 The consequences of this consequences calculated Health and Safety Consequence Level acility: MODERATE event are bounded and for an aircraft impact epresented by the Worker: HIGH Facility: HIGH Facility: HIGH Worker: HIGH Vorker: HIGH Offsite: HIGH **Offsite: NA** Offsite: NA vent. Unmitigated Temporary shutdown of room. Major disruption of support functions for of support functions for Dock and equipment in oom. Major disruption Dock and equipment in Impact on Other damage to Loading Potential for major famage to Loading Potential for major he affected area. Systems torage facility. storage facility. initiator Freq. Level EU EU < 2 2 Surface Vehicle Aircraft impact Aircraft impact impact (e.g., truck at dock, Causes cranc) Injury to personnel containers on dock rom external event Injury to personnel or facility damage Postulated Event or facility damage esulting in release Vehicles external to facility Vehicles external Description ue to external lazard Source: lazard Source: lue to external of radiological lazard Source: Dock/Ramp Jock/Ramp adioactive Dock/Ramp Damage to .ocation: o facility ocation: ocation: naterial oading oading oading Material vent vent Category Event E-6 E-6 E-6 Event No. LD-15 LD-14 1D-16

Table C-2. Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Loading Dock/Ramp, Unmitigated Consequences (page 6 of 7)

50

A A

11

UNCLASSIFIED

Table C-2 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Loading Dock/Ramp, Unmitigated Consequences (page 7 of 7)

UNCL

ACCIETED

CON

I No. I	Event	Postulated Event	Cancee	Initiator Fred	Imnact on Other	Health and Cafety	Dieb Rin Number
	ategory	Description		Level	Systems	Consequence Level	POINT IN A REIN
-17	E-7	Release of radiological	Earthquake, tornado, high	n	Potential for damage to equipment on dock;	Worker: MODERATE	S
		material due to NPH event	velocity straight winds	3, 4	potential for release of radioactive material to	Facility: LOW	9
-					environment	Offsite: LOW	. 6
-		Loading					
		Dock/Kamp			-	The consequences of this	
		Hazard Source:				revent are bounded and	
-		Radioactive Material				consequences calculated for a large fire event	
-18	E-7	Damage to pit	Lightning	n	Potential for damage to	Worker: MODERATE	5
		containers			pit containers and		
-		resulting in release		S	release of radioactive	Facility: LOW	9
		or radiological			material to environment;		
		NPH UNC 10			potential for damage to	Orisite: LOW	0
					dock creating potential		
		Location:			for subsequent fires.	The consequences of this	
		Dock/Ramn				event are bounded and	
		dimension				ichicsenica by the	
		Harred Courses				consequences calculated	
		Radioactive				tor a large lire event.	
		Material					
-16	E-1	Radiological	Heavy rain with	۲.	None	Worker: LOW	3
-		due to NPH	accompanying leaks into	s		Easility: LOW	•
			building.	n		i aduity. LOW	n
1		Location:				Offsite: LOW	3
-		Loading					
-		LOCKNAIIP				The consequences of this event are bounded and	
		Hazard Source:				represented by the	
_		Radioactive				consequences calculated	
-		Material				for an impact event.	

51

MARTINA

UNCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIEI

Table C-3 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Control Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 1 of 3)

Event Postulated Event Causes Initiator	ulated Event Causes Initiator	Causes Initiator	Initiator	Freq.	Unmitigate Impact on Other	d Health and Safety	Risk Bin Number
ategory Description Leve	escription Lev	Levi	Leve	-	Systems	Consequence Level	
E-1     Room fire     Electrical     A       Control Room     or computer     1       Location:     cquipment)     1       Control Room     overheats;     1       Hazard Source:     Electrical short.     1       Material     Material     1	n fire Electrical A equipment (office 1 ion: equipment) ol Room overheats; Electrical short. d Source: utstible	Electrical A equipment (office 1 or computer 1 equipment) overheats; Electrical short.	< -		Damage to controls and monitoring system for AGV. Shutdown of operations in affected area: property damage.	Covered by NFPA and Performance Category, i.e., Common Hazardous Event.	¥.
E-6 Personnel injured External fire (e.g., A due to external grass fire, truck at event loading dock 1 catches fire, Location: chemicals Control Room temporarily staged on dock Hazard Source: are ignited by Combustibles electrical short or unknown ignition source).	nnel injured External fire (e.g., A o external grass fire, truck at loading dock 1 catches fire, catches fire, ol Room temporarily staged on dock d Source: are ignited by uustibles electrical short or unstibles source).	External fire (e.g., A grass fire, truck at loading dock 1 catches fire, chemicals temporarily staged on dock are ignited by electrical short or unknown ignition source).	< -		Damage to controls and monitoring system for AGV. Shutdown of operations in affected area; property damage.	Covered by NFPA, i.e., Common Hazardous Event.	ž
E-6Personnel injuredExternalUdue to externalexplosion (e.g.,eventexplosiveeventmaterial beingLocation:handled in nearbyControl Roombuildings isdetonated andHazard Source:projects objectsExplosives inin the direction ofexternal facilities12-66)	nnel injured External U o external explosion (e.g., explosive I material being handled in nearby ol Room buildings is detonated and detonated and detorated and al facilities I 2-66)	External U explosion (e.g., explosive 1 material being handled in nearby buildings is detonated and projects objects in the direction of 12-66)	- C		Potential for damage to computer control equipment for AGV.	Worker: HIGH Facility: MODERATE Offsite: NA	A 8 N

SRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

W

LASSIFIED

LASSIFIED CONTROLLED NUCLEAR DIPORMATION UNCLASSIFIE

Table C-3 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Control Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 2 of 3)

LINCL

 Event	Postulated Event Description	Causes	Initiator Freq. Level	Unmitigate Impact on Other Systems	d Health and Safety Consequence Level	Risk Bin Number
 E-6	Personnel injured due to external event Location: Control Room Hazard Source: Explosives in external facilities	External explosion; explosive material being transported on truck is detonated as truck passes in vicinity of SPSF; objects are projected in the direction of 12- 66.	- n	Potential for damage to computer control equipment for AGV.	Worker: HIGH Facility: MODERATE Offsite: NA	4 v N
 9 . E	Damage/injuries due to external event. Location: Control Room Hazard Source: Vehicles external to facility	Surface vehicle impact.	EU I	Potential for damage to computer control equipment for AGV.	Worker: HIGH Facility: MODERATE Offsite: NA	N 8 N
 9-E	Damage/injuries due to external event. Location: Control Room Hazard Source: Vehicles external to facility	Aircraft impact	EU 2	Potential for major damage to Control room structure and equipment in room. Major disruption of support functions for storage facility.	Worker: HIGH Facility: HIGH Offsite: NA	7 NA
 E-7	Equipment damage due to NPH Location: Control Room Hazard Source: NPH	Heavy Rains	s s	Potential for damage to equipment in room which could result in loss of support functions for storage facility.	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE Facility: LOW Offsite: NA	11 NA

53

107

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

UNGLASSIFIED

Table C-3 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Control Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 3 of 3)

-	-	
	Risk Bin Number	NA.
pa	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Covered by Performance Category, i.e., Common Hazardous Event.
Unmitigate	Impact on Other Systems	Potential for disruption of services provided by equipment in room (e.g., HVAC)
	Initiator Freq. Level	U 3.4
	Causes	Earthquake, tormado, high velocity straight winds.
	Postulated Event Description	Personnel injury due to NPH Location: Control Room Hazard Source: NPH
	Event Category	E-1
	Event No.	CR-8

Frequency information sources:

Engineering judgment/discussions with facility and material experts

DOE/EIS-225, Pantex EIS, November 1996 (Ref. 16)

3 DOE-STD-1020-94, Change Notice #1, January 1996 (Ref. 17)

4 Pantex Plant SAR, General Information Document (Ref. 18)

5 National Weather Service Data

54

UNCLASSIFIED 3AAAAA

1 UNCLASSIFIED

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

Table C-4. Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Interlock, Unmitigated Consequences (page 1 of 6)

Risk Bin Number	11 3 12	N.	11 3 12
Health and Safety Consequence Level	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE Facility: LOW Offsite: NEGLIGIBLE The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for a small free event	Worker: NA Facility: NA Offsite: NA	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE Facility: LOW Offsite: NEGLIGIBLE The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for a impact event.
Impact on Other Systems	Shutdown of the affected area; potential for release of radiological material to the environment	Y.	Shutdown of the affected area; potential for release of radiological material to the environment.
Initiator Freq.	< -	¥N.	< -
Causes	Hydraulic fluid on AGV or forklift; Electrical short; unknown ignition source; worker error.	Y	Hydrogen generated by batterics in AGV, shielded forklift; electrical short; unknown ignition source.
Postulated Event Description	Radiological material release from pit containers due to fire Location: Interlock Hazard Sources: Radioactive Material	Pyrophoric material Location: Interlock Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	Radiological release from pit container due to an explosion. Locatión: Interlock Hazard Source: Radioactive Material
Event	цч	ш́	E-2
Event No.		IN-2	R-3

MAAM

MAY DA

SRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A LASSIFIED

Table C-4 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Interlock, Unmitigated Consequences (page 2 of 6)

	Risk Bin Number	11	. 12			11.	9	12					=		Э	. 12				
2	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE Facility: LOW	Offsite: NEGLIGIBLE	The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the	consequences calculated for an impact event.	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE	Facility: LOW	Offisite: NEGLIGIBLE		The consequences of this	represented by the	consequences calculated for an impact event.	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE		Facility: LOW	Offsite: NEGLIGIBLE		The concernances of this	event are bounded and represented by the	consequences calculated for an oxidation event.
OIIIIIIIIgaid	Impact on Other Systems	Temporary shutdown of the affected area; potential for release of adiological material to	the environment; contamination of interlock.			Potential for shutdown	or arrected area; potential for	contamination to be spread to other areas	including the environment.				Temporary shutdown of	affected area; potential	for transferable	spread to other areas.				
	Initiator Freq. Level	V -				n	-						V		-					
	Causes	Worker error; failure of computer control for AGV:	containers are dropped or pierced with	forklift tine.		Aging or	corroaing structures in	ceiling (e.g., light fixtures, sprinkler	piping, pipe hangers).				Age of containers	and pits,	corrosion of pit	overheating	results in pit	containers damaged during	transportation to	detected on Loading Dock).
	Postulated Event Description	Radiological release from pit container due to damage resulting	from mishandling of pit container	Location: Interlock	Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	Radiological	container due to	damage caused by object falling form	ceiling	Location:		Hazard Source: Radioactive	Material Personnel exposure	due to leaking	container	Location:	Interlock	Hazard Source: Radioactive	Material	
	Event	E-3				E-3							E-4							
	Event No.	N-4				IN-5							9-NI							

Alan

ANDAM WSRC-TR-97-0180 UNCLASSIFIED BRAFT A Table C-4 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Interlock, Unmitigated Consequences (page 3 of 6)

Risk Bin Number	<b>V</b> N	11 3 11	11 6 11
Health and Safety	VN	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE Facility: LOW Offsite: NEGLIGIBLE The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for a small fire event.	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE Facility: LOW Offsite: NEGLIGIBLE The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for an impact event.
Impact on Other	NA Dyaciis	Temporary shutdown of the affected area; potential for release of radiological material to the environment; potential for spread of contamination to other areas.	Temporary shutdown of he affected area; otential for release of adiological material to the environment; otential for spread of contamination to other areas
Initiator Freq.	Insufficient Insufficient Insufficient Inventory to have criticality event	< -	> -
Causes	Forklift collides with and damages pallet resulting in unfavrable configuration of containers; earthquake.	External fire (e.g., grass fire, truck at loading dock catches fire).	External explosion (e.g., explosive material being handled in nearby buildings is detonated and projects objects projects objects in the direction of [2-66]
Postulated Event	Criticality in Interlock. Location: Interlock Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	Damage to containers from external event resulting in release of radiological material Location: Interlock Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	Damage to containers from external event resulting in release of radiological material Location: Interlock Hazard Source: Radioactive Matrial
Event	E-5	E-6	9°8
Event No.	L-11	8°-ZJ	6-N

WSRC-TR-97-0180 UNCLASSIFIED

Table C-4 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Interlock, Unmitigated Consequences (page 4 of 6)

vent No.	Event	Postulated Event Description	Causes	Initiator Freq. Level	Impact on Other Systems	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Risk Bin Number
01-NI	E-6	Damage to containers from external event	External explosion; explosive	n ,	Temporary shutdown of the affected area; potential for release of	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE Facility: LOW	11
		resulting in release of radiological material	material being transported on truck is detonated as truck nasses in		radiological material to the environment; potential for spread of contamination to other	Offsite: NEGLIGIBLE	12
		Location: Interlock	vicinity of SPSF; objects are projected in the		arcas	The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the	
		Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	direction of 12- 66.			consequences calculated for an impact event.	
11-N1	E-6	Injury to personnel or facility damage	External explosion.	n	Temporary shutdown of the affected area.	Worker: HIGH	4
		due to external		1		Facility: LOW	9
		Location: Interlock				Offsite: NA	AN
		Hazard Source: Explosives in external facilities					
N-12	E-6	Damage to	Aircraft impact	EU	Potential for major	Worker: HIGH	7
		external event		2	equipment in room.	Facility: HIGH	7
		of radiological material			support functions for storage facility; the	Offsite: HIGH	7*
		Location: Interlock			event would likely involve significantly more material than the	The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the	* Requires Safety Class
NTE		Hazard Source: Radioactive Material		1	containers located only in the interlock.	consequences calculated for an aircraft impact event.	

58

ARAAA

THE TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

Table C-4 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Interlock, Unmitigated Consequences (page 5 of 6)

 Postulated Event	Causes	Initiator Freq.	Impact on Other Systems	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Risk Bin Number
Injury to personnel or facility damage due to external event Location: Interlock Hazard Source: Vehicles external to facility	Aircraft impact	EU 2	Potential for major damage to interlock and equipment in room. Major disruption of support functions for storage facility.	Worker: HIGH Facility: HIGH Offsite: NA	r r NA
Release of radiological material due to NPH event Location: Interlock Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	Earthquake, tormado, high velocity straight winds	3, 4	Temporary shutdown of the storage operation: potential for release of radiological material to the environment.	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE Facility: LOW Offsite: NEGLIGIBLE The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for a impact event.	12 6
Damage to pit containers resulting in releas of radiological material due to NPH Location: Interlock Hazard Source: Radioactive Material	Lightming	⊃ v	Potential for damage to electrical equipment.	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE Facility: LOW Offsite: NEGLIGIBLE The consequences of this event are bounded and represented by the consequences calculated for an impact event.	12 6 11

ADADAL

WSRC-TR-97-0180 UNCLASSIFIED

TION

Table C-4. Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Interlock, Unmitigated Consequences (page 6 of 6)

					Unmitigal	led	
vent No.	Event	Postulated Event Description	Causes	Initiator Freq. Level	Impact on Other Systems	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Risk Bin Number
IN-16	E-7	Radiological	Heavy rain with	V	None	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE	П
•		release	accompanying	5		Facility: LOW	3
			building.				
		Location:		•		Offsite: NEGLIGIBLE	12
		Interlock		1			
						The consequences of this	
		Hazard Source:				event are bounded and	
		Radioactive				represented by the	
		Material				consequences calculated	
	-					for an impact event.	

Frequency information sources:

Engineering judgment/discussions with facility and material experts

11,24

N

2 DOE/EIS-225, Pantex EIS, November 1996 (Ref. 16)

3 DOE-STD-1020-94, Change Notice #1, January 1996 (Ref. 17)
 4 Pantex Plant SAR, General Information Document (Ref. 18)

60

MAN

5 National Weather Service Data

UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFIED DRAFT A

Table C-5 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Equipment Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 1 of 4)

(No.	Event	Postulated Event	Causes	Initiator Freq.	Impact on Other Susteme	Health and Safety	Risk Bin Number
R-1	E-1 E-1	Location: Equipment Room Hazard Source: Combustible Material	Combustible materials; oil in reservoir on air compressor; electrical short; unknown ignition source; earthquake.		Potential for damage to equipment in room which could result in shutdown of Bldg. support systems (e.g., HVAC system).	Covered by NFPA, i.e., Common Hazardous Event	¥.
R-2	E-2	Injuries, equipment damage due to steam line failure Location: Equipment Room Hazard Source: Steam	Water hammer; corrosion	< -	None	Worker: HIGH Facility: LOW Offsite: NA	NA .
CR-3	B-2	Injuries due to switchgear failure or explosion Location: Equipment Room Hazard Source: Electrical Equipment	Electrical short; aged power cable insulation; lightening results in arcing in switchgear.	< -	Potential for damage to equipment in room which could result in shutdown of Bldg. Support systems (e.g., HVAC system).	Covered by NEC; i.e., Common Hazardous Event.	V
3R.4	B-2	Injuries due to battery explosion Location: Equipment Room Hazard Source: Batteries	Hydrogen generated by lead acid batteries; electrical equipment failure; mise. activities near batteries cause spark.	< -	Potential for damage to equipment in room which could result in shutdown of Bldg. support systems (e.g., HVAC system).	Worker: MODERATE Facility: LOW Offsite: NA	N W N

WAS AND ALS

WSRC-TR-97-0180 UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED CONTROLLED MUCHEAR DIFORMATION

Star Part

MAMM

62

Table C-5 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Equipment Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 2 of 4)

Risk Bin Number	۲ Z	¥z .	A & A
Health and Safety Consequence Level	The consequences to onsite workers and the facility are covered by th consequences given for Event PS-6.	Covered by NFPA; i.e., Common Hazardous Event	Worker: HIGH Facility: MODERATE Offsite: NA
Impact on Other Systems	Zone	Temporary shutdown of the affected area: potential for damage to equipment in room.	Potential for damage to equipment in room which could result in shutdown of Bldg. HVAC system). HVAC system).
Initiator Freq. Level	- C	< -	<b>D</b> –
Causes	Leaking ductwork; External event.	External fire (e.g., grass fire, vehicle in vicinity catches fire).	External explosion (e.g., explosive material being handled in nearby buildings is detonated and projects objects in the direction of 12-66)
Postulated Event Description	Exposure to contarnination circulated through HVAC system from Pit Storage room Location: Equipment Room Hazard Source: Radioactive Contamination	Damage/injuries due to external event. Location: Equipment Room Hazard Source: Fires external to facility	Damage/injuries due to external event. Location: Equipment Room Hazard Source: Explosives
Event	E4	9°E	E-6
Event No.	ER-5	ER-6	E ASSI

AND UNCLASSIFIED

TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

ABARAN

W.

Table C-5 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Equipment Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 3 of 4)

			nmitigated		ni-t bit Minutes
d Event Causes Initia	- 9	r Freq. Impact on the System	Other	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Risk Bin Number
juries External rmal explosion; explosive material being transported on truck is detonated as truck passes in wicinity of SPSF; objects are projected in the direction of 12- 66.		Potential for da equipment in π which could re: shutdown of B support system HVAC system)	om mage to Vom Ht in Ht	Vorker: HIGH acility: MODERATE Offsite: NA	4 s M
njuries Surface vehicle, emal crane impact. It Room ource:		<ul> <li>Potential for di equipment in r which could re shutdown of E support system HVAC system</li> </ul>	mage to bom sult in ldg. s (c.g.,	Vorker: HIGH acility: LOW Offsite: NA	A 6 A
njuries Aircraft impact. I ternal nt Room ource:	-	<ul> <li>EU Potential for n damage to equ room and equi room. Major u of support fun storage facility</li> </ul>	ajor ipment iisruption ctions for	Worker: HIGH Facility: HIGH Offsile: NA	r RA
nt damage Heavy Rains ooding : : int Room iource:		A Potential for d equipment in 1 which could n loss of suppor for storage fac	amage to oom ssult in functions ility.	Worker: NEGLIGIBLE Facility: NEGLIGIBLE Offsite: NA	II II W

UNCLASSIFIED DRAFT A

Table C-5 Hazard Evaluation Table for SPSF Equipment Room, Unmitigated Consequences (page 4 of 4)

	Risk Bin Number	NA
	Health and Safety Consequence Level	Covered by Performance Category: i.e., Common Hazardous Event.
Unmitigate	Impact on Other Systems	Potential for disruption of services provided by equipment in room (e.g., HVAC)
	Initiator Freq. Level	s
	Causes	Earthquake, tormado, high velocity straight winds.
	Postulated Event Description	Injuries due to NPH Location: Equipment Room Hazard Source: NPH
	Event	E-7
	Event No.	ER-12

requency information sources:

ODINI

Engineering judgment/discussions with facility and material experts

DOE/EIS-225, Pantex EIS, November 1996 (Ref. 16)

DOE-STD-1020-94, Change Notice #1, January 1996 (Ref. 17)

Pantex Plant SAR, General Information Document (Ref. 18)

5 National Weather Service Data

64

dannan

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

SALAN WIN

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A


WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

# **APPENDIX D - CONSEQUENCE DETERMINATION**





The following information describes the models developed to characterize the five representative events used to bound the events listed in the Hazard Evaluation Tables (Appendix C). In addition, this information shows the calculations performed to generate the onsite and offsite release values for each representative vent. For purposes of the these calculations, no credit is taken for the pit cladding or for the drum in which the pit is stored (an unmitigated release is assumed).

Representative Event Scenario 1: Large Fire (Loading Dock only)

- Caused by ignition of combustibles on dock
- Assumes 36 pits exposed to 1000°C for 1 hour
- Then, all 36 pits are exposed to 200°C for 24 hours
- Then, all 36 pits are exposed to 20°C for 4 days
- @ 1000°C -

Use 30 minute value (conservative) from Table D-2, Onsite - 4.6E+03 Offsite - 1.6E+02 Release (from Table D-1): (7.1E-04) + 4 = 1.8E-04 (for 1 hour)

@ 200°C -

Use 1 hour value from Table D-2, Onsite - 4.0E+03 Offsite - 1.4E+02 Release (from Table D-1): 8.5E-07 (for a 24 hour period)

@ 20°C -

Use 1 hour value (conservative) from Table D-2, Onsite - 4.0E+03 Offsite - 1.4E+02 Release (from Table D-1): 3.4E-07 (for a 96 hour period)

<u>Onsite</u>: 36(1.8E-04)(4.6E+03) + 36(8.5E-07)(4.0E+03) + 36(3.4E-07)(4.0E+03) = 30 rem

<u>Offsite</u>: 36(1.8E-04)(1.6E+02) + 36(8.5E-07)(1.4E+02) + 36(3.4E-07)(1.4E+02) = 1.05 rem

UNCLASSIFIED

66

MAAAAA



Representative Event Scenario 2: Small Fire (Pit Storage Room, Interlock, and Loading Dock)

- Caused by fire on forklift
- Assumes 6 pits on the forklift exposed to 600°C for 1 hour
- Then, all 6 pits are exposed to 200°C for 24 hours
- Then, all 6 pits are exposed to 20°C for 4 days

For remainder of pits in section (30 on dock/12038 in Pit Storage Room):

- Assumes remaining pits are exposed to 200°C for 24 hours
- Then, remaining pits are exposed to 20°C for 4 days

#### @ 600°C -

Use 30 minute value (conservative) from Table D-2, Onsite - 4.6E+03 Offsite - 1.6E+02Release (from Table D-1): (2.1E-04) + 12 = 1.75E-05 (for 1 hour)

#### @ 200°C -

Use 1 hour value from Table D-2, Onsite - 4.0E+03 Offsite - 1.4E+02 Release (from Table D-1): 8.5E-07 (for a 24 hour period)

#### @ 20°C -

Use 1 hour value (conservative) from Table D-2, Onsite - 4.0E+03 Offsite - 1.4E+02 Release (from Table D-1): 3.4E-07 (for a 96 hour period)

#### Onsite:

<u>Interlock</u> - One Pallet (6 pits) only 6(1.75-05)(4.6E+03) + 6(8.5E-07)(4.0E+03) + 6(3.4E-07)(4.0E+03) = 0.51 rem

<u>Dock</u> - Pallet (6 pits) on forklift + 30 additional pits on dock 0.51 + 30(8.5E-07)(4.0E+03) + 30(3.4E-07)(4.0E+03) = 0.65 rem

<u>Pit Storage Room</u> - Pallet (6 pits) + 12038 additional pits in room 0.51 + 12038(8.5E-07)(4.0E+03) + 12038(3.4E-07)(4.0E+03) = 57.81 rem

<u>Offsite</u>: <u>Interlock</u> - One Pallet (6 pits) only

 $\frac{111000}{6(1.75-05)(1.6E+02)} + 6(8.5E-07)(1.4E+02) + 6(3.4E-07)(1.4E+02) = 0.02 \text{ rem}$ 

<u>Dock</u> - Pallet (6 pits) on forklift + 30 additional pits on dock 0.02 + 30(8.5E-07)(1.4E+02) + 30(3.4E-07)(1.4E+02) = 0.03 rem

<u>Pit Storage Room</u> - Pallet (6 pits) + 12038 additional pits in room 0.02 + 12038(8.5E-07)(1.4E+02) + 12038(3.4E-07)(1.4E+02) = 2.02 rem

# UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED CONTROLLED NUCLEAR INFORMATION



### Representative Event Scenario 3: Oxidation followed by impact

- · Caused by mishandling, seismic event, external event
- Assumes all pits in area are impacted

Use 1 hour value from Table D-2, Onsite - 4.0E+03 Offsite - 1.4E+02 Release (from Table D-1): 3.2E-05 (for a 96 hour period)

#### Onsite:

<u>Interlock</u>: 6(3.2E-05)(4E+03) = 0.76 rem<u>Dock</u>: 36(3.2E-05)(4E+03) = 4.6 rem<u>Pit Storage Room</u>: 12044(3.2E-05)(4E+03) = 1542 rem

#### Offsite:

<u>Interlock</u>: 6(3.2E-05)(1.4E+02) = 0.03 rem<u>Dock</u>: 36(3.2E-05)(1.4E+02) = 0.16 rem<u>Pit Storage Room</u>: 12044(3.2E-05)(1.4E+02) = 53.96 rem

#### Representative Event Scenario 4: Oxidation

- Caused by exposure of pits to ambient conditions
- Assumes all pits in area are impacted

Use 1 hour values from Table D-2, Onsite - 4.0E+03 Offsite - 1.4E+02Release (from Table D-1):  $(3.4E-07) \div 4 = 8.5E-08$  per day

Onsite:

<u>Interlock</u>: 6(8.5E-08)(4E+03) = 0.002 rem/day = 0.74 rem/yr<u>Dock</u>: 36(8.5E-08)(4E+03) = 0.01 rem/day = 4.5 rem/yr<u>Pit Storage Room</u>: 12044(8.5E-08)(4E+03) = 4.1 rem/day = 1495 rem/yr

Offsite:

<u>Interlock</u>: 6(8.5E-08)(1.4E+02) = 7.1E-05 rem/day = 0.26 rem/yr<u>Dock</u>: 36(8.5E-08)(1.4E+02) = 4.3E-04 rem/day = 1.56 rem/yr<u>Pit Storage Room</u>: 12044(8.5E-08)(1.4E+02) = 0.14 rem/day = 52.3 rem/yr

68

## UNCLASSIFIED



WSRC-TR-97-0180

00E (b)(3)

Representative Event Scenario 5: Aircraft ImpLINCLASSIFIED

• Assumes all pits in area are impacted

1 2 2

۰.

:5

.

• Assumes jet fuel fire affects all the material in each pit

5 1

. .

-

TODINING

AND INCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIED

WSRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

#### Table D-1 Material Released Per Pit



#### Table D-2 CEDE Values for Ground Level Release of 1 kg of Pu-239

Release Period	CEDE (Rem)		
	100m (Onsite) <sup>1</sup>	1.45km (Offsite) <sup>1</sup>	
3 min	7.0E+03	2.6E+02	
30 min	4.6E+03	1.6E+02	
1 hour	4.0E+03	1.4E+02	

The values for the onsite worker are reported for the 50<sup>th</sup> quantile dose level without regard to sector. The values for the maximally exposed offsite individual are reported for the 95<sup>th</sup> quantile dose level without regard to sector. All values include the effects of dry deposition.

1 Values from Ref. 14

70 TOTAL

UNCLASSIFIE DRC-TR-97-0180 DRAFT A

Man

		Consequences (Rem)	
Event	Location	Onsite	Offsite
Large Fire	Loading Dock	30	1.05
Small Fire	Interlock	0.51	0.02
	Loading Dock	0.65	0.03
	Pit Storage Room	57.81	2.02
Oxidation/Impact	Interlock	0.76	0.03
	Loading Dock	4.6	0.16
	Pit Storage Room	1542	53.96
Oxidation	Interlock	0.002/day, 0.74/yr	<0.001/day, 0.26/yr
	Loading Dock	0.01/day, 4.5/yr	0.00043/day, 1.56/yr
	Pit Storage Room	4.1/day, 1495/yr	0.14/day, 52.3/yr
Aircraft Impact	Interlock	2,730	101.4
	Loading Dock	16,380	608.4
	Pit Storage Room	5.48E+06	2.04E+05

### Table D-3 Summary of Consequences for Representative Events

UNCLASSIFIED NCLASSIFIED